Exact analysis of optimal configurations in radii computations

(Extended abstract)

René Brandenberg[*]   Thorsten Theobald[†]

Abstract

We propose a novel characterization of (radii-) minimal projections of polytopes onto j-dimensional subspaces. Applied on simplices this characterization allows to reduce the computation of an outer radius to a computation in the circumscribing case or to the computation of an outer radius of a lower-dimensional simplex. This allows to close a gap in the knowledge on optimal configurations in radii computations, such as determining the radii of smallest enclosing cylinders of regular simplices in general dimension.

1 Introduction

Radii computations of the following form occur in many applications in computer vision, robotics, computational biology, and massive data set analysis (see [7] and the references therein). Let $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}$ be the set of all j-dimensional linear subspaces (hereafter *j-spaces*) in n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$. The *outer j-radius* $R_j(C)$ of a convex body $C \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ is the radius of the smallest enclosing j-ball in an optimal orthogonal projection of C onto a j-space $J \in \mathcal{L}_{j,n}$, where the optimization is performed over $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}$. The optimal projections are called *R_j-minimal projections*. See [1, 5, 10] for exact algebraic algorithms, [8, 11, 14] for approximation algorithms, and [3, 7] for the computational complexity. In this paper we show the following new characterization of optimal projections:

Theorem 1 *Let $1 \leq j \leq n < m$ and $P = \mathrm{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}\} \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be an n-polytope. Then one of the following is true.*

a) *In every R_j-minimal projection of P there exist $n+1$ affinely independent vertices of P which are projected onto the minimal enclosing j-sphere.*

b) *$j \geq 2$ and $R_j(P) = R_{j-1}(P \cap H)$ for some hyperplane $H = \mathrm{aff}\{v^{(i)} : i \in I\}$ with $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$.*

If $j = 1$ or if P is a regular simplex then always case a) holds. Moreover, the number ν of affinely indepen-

dent vertices projected onto the minimal enclosing j-sphere is at least $n-j+2$ and there exists a $(\nu-1)$-flat F such that $R_j(P) = R_{j+\nu-n-1}(P \cap F)$. The bound $n - j + 2$ is best possible.

Theorem 1 allows to reduce the computation of an outer radius of a simplex to the computation in the circumscribing case or to the computation of an outer radius of a facet of the simplex. Reductions of smallest enclosing cylinders to circumscribing cylinders are used in exact algorithms as well as for complexity proofs (see, e.g., [1] and [7]), and have previously been given only for $j \in \{1, n\}$ as well as for dimension 3. Theorem 1 generalizes and unifies these results.

The characterization provides effective means for the analysis of optimal configurations in radii computations (for general dimension a known difficult task). As an example, we reduce the computation of the outer $(n-1)$-radius of a regular simplex to the following optimization problem of symmetric polynomials in n variables:

$$\min \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i^4 \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i^3 = 0,$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i^2 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i = 0. \qquad (1)$$

The system is solved by reducing it to an optimization problem in six variables with additional integer constraints, leading to the following result.

Theorem 2 *Let $n \geq 2$ and T_1^n be a regular simplex in $\mathbb{E}^n$ with edge length 1. Then*

$$R_{n-1}(T_1^n) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\[2mm] \frac{2n-1}{2\sqrt{2n(n+1)}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

The case n odd has already been settled independently by Pukhov [9] and Weißbach [12] who both left open the even case. There also exists a later paper on $R_{n-1}(T_1^n)$ for even n [13], but as pointed out in [1] the proof contained a crucial error. Thus Theorem 2 (re-)completes the determination of the sequence of outer j-radii of regular simplices [9]. [1]

[*]Zentrum Mathematik, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 3, D–85747 Garching bei München, brandenb@ma.tum.de

[†]Dept. of Computer Science, Yale University, P.O. Box 208285, New Haven, CT 06520–8285, theobald@cs.yale.edu

---

[1]All omitted proofs as well as further analysis of the problems can be found in the full paper [2].

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we work in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$, i.e., $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the usual scalar product $x \cdot y$ and norm $\|x\| = (x \cdot x)^{1/2}$. $\mathbb{B}^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ denote the (closed) unit ball and unit sphere, respectively. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{E}^n$, the linear, affine, and convex hull of A are denoted by $\mathrm{lin}(A)$, $\mathrm{aff}(A)$, and $\mathrm{conv}(A)$, respectively.

A set $C \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ is called a *body* if it is compact, convex and contains interior points. Accordingly, we always assume that a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ is full-dimensional (unless otherwise stated). Let $1 \le j \le n$. A *j-flat* F (an affine subspace of dimension j) is *perpendicular* to a hyperplane H with normal vector h if h and F are parallel. For $p, p' \in \mathbb{E}^n$ and subspaces $E \in \mathcal{L}_{j,n}$, $E' \in \mathcal{L}_{j',n}$, a j-flat $F = p + E$ and a j'-flat $F' = p' + E'$ are *parallel* if $E \cup E' = \mathrm{lin}(E \cup E')$. A *$j$-cylinder* is a set of the form $J + \rho \mathbb{B}^n$ with an $(n-j)$-flat J and $\rho > 0$. Let $1 \le j \le k \le n$. If $C' \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ is a compact, convex set whose affine hull F is a k-flat then $R_j(C')$ denotes the radius of a smallest enclosing j-cylinder $\mathcal{C}'$ relative to F, i.e., $\mathcal{C}' = J' + R_j(C')(\mathbb{B}^n \cap F)$ with a $(k-j)$-flat $J' \subset F$.

A *simplex* $\mathrm{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n+1)}\}$ (with affinely independent $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n+1)} \in \mathbb{E}^n$) is *regular* if all its vertices are equidistant. Whenever a statement is invariant under orthogonal transformations and translations we denote by T^n *the* regular simplex in $\mathbb{E}^n$ with edge length $\sqrt{2}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^n_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = \alpha\}$. Then the *standard embedding* $\mathbf{T}^n$ of T^n is defined by $\mathbf{T}^n = \mathrm{conv}\{e^{(i)} \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} : 1 \le i \le n+1\} \subset \mathcal{H}^n_1$, where $e^{(i)}$ denotes the i-th unit vector in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$. By $\mathcal{S}^{n-1} := \mathbb{S}^n \cap \mathcal{H}^n_0$ we denote the set of unit vectors parallel to $\mathcal{H}^n_1$. A j-cylinder $\mathcal{C}$ containing some simplex S is called a *circumscribing* j-cylinder of S if all the vertices of S are contained in the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$.

3 Minimal and circumscribing j-cylinders

The minimal enclosing ball B of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ may contain only few vertices of P on its boundary, but in cases where less than $n + 1$ vertices of P are contained in the boundary of B, there exists a hyperplane H such that $P \cap \mathrm{bd}(B) \subset H$ and the center of B is contained in H. Then the smallest enclosing ball of P and the smallest enclosing ball of $P \cap H$ relative to H have the same radius. In [6] the following characterization for the minimal enclosing 1-cylinder (two parallel hyperplanes defining the width of the polytope) is given:

Proposition 3 *Any minimal enclosing 1-cylinder of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ contains at least $n + 1$ affinely independent vertices of P on its boundary.*

We provide a characterization of the possible configurations of minimal enclosing j-cylinders of polytopes, unifying and generalizing the above statements.

Lemma 4 *Let $P = \mathrm{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}\}$ be a polytope in $\mathbb{E}^n$, $1 \le j \le n - 1$, and J be an $(n-j)$-flat such that $\mathcal{C} = J + R_j(P)\mathbb{B}^n$ is a minimal enclosing j-cylinder of P. Then for every $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\{i : v^{(i)} \in \mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C})\} \subset I$ and $H_I := \mathrm{aff}\{v^{(i)} : i \in I\}$ is of affine dimension $n - 1$, J is parallel to H_I.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a hyperplane $H := H_I$ of this type with J not parallel to H. Let $\bar{n} := |\{v^{(i)} \in H : 1 \le i \le m\}|$. Without loss of generality $H = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^n : x_n = 0\}$ and $I = \{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\bar{n})}\}$. Hence, $v^{(\bar{n}+1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)} \notin H \cup \mathrm{bd}(C)$.

It suffices to consider the case that J is not perpendicular to H. Let $p, s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)} \in \mathbb{E}^n$ such that $J = p + \mathrm{lin}\{s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)}\}$. Since J is not parallel to H, we can assume $p = 0 \in J \cap H$, $s_n^{(1)} = \cdots = s_n^{(n-j-1)} = 0$ and $s_n^{(n-j)} > 0$. For every $s_n' \in (0, s_n^{(n-j)})$ and $s' := (s_1^{(n-j)}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{(n-j)}, s_n') \in \mathbb{E}^n$ let $J' = p + \mathrm{lin}\{s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j-1)}, s'\}$. Since J and H are not perpendicular we obtain $J \ne J'$, and because $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\bar{n})} \in H$ that

$$\mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J') \le \mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J), \quad 1 \le i \le \bar{n}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathrm{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean distance. In (2), "$<$" holds whenever $v^{(i)} \notin K := J^\perp \cap H$. Obviously, $\dim(K) = j - 1$. If none of the $v^{(i)}$ lies in $K \cap \mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C})$ then, by choosing s_n' sufficiently close to $s_n^{(n-j)}$, all vertices of P lie in the interior of $\mathcal{C}' = J' + R_j(P)\mathbb{B}^n$, a contradiction to the minimality of $\mathcal{C}$. Hence, there must be some vertex of P in $K \cap \mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\bar{k} := |\{v^{(i)} \in K \cap \mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C}) : 1 \le i \le m\}|$. We can assume that $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\bar{k})} \in K \cap \mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $F := \mathrm{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\bar{k})}\}$ and $k := \dim F$. Suppose $F \cap J = \emptyset$. We have shown above that for sufficiently small s_n' the rotation from J to J' keeps all vertices within the j-cylinder $\mathcal{C}'$ and $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\bar{k})}$ are the only vertices on $\mathrm{bd}(\mathcal{C}')$. Let J'' be a translate of J' with $\mathrm{dist}(J'', F) < \mathrm{dist}(J', F)$, and J'' sufficiently close to J' to keep $v^{(\bar{k}+1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}$ within the interior of $\mathcal{C}'' = J'' + R_j(P)\mathbb{B}^n$. Then all vertices of P lie in the interior of $\mathcal{C}''$, again a contradiction.

It follows that $F \cap J \ne \emptyset$, and since $F \subset K = J^\perp \cap H$ that $F \cap J = p = 0$. Since $\mathrm{dist}(p, v^{(i)}) = R_j(P)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$ and since $p \in F$, it follows that p is the unique center of the smallest enclosing k-ball of F. Let J''' result from J' by rotating J' around the origin towards a direction in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\bar{k}} (v^{(i)})^\perp)$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$ the property $\mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J) = \mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J') = \mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, p)$ implies $\mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J''') < \mathrm{dist}(v^{(i)}, J')$. By keeping the rotation sufficiently small, $v^{(\bar{k}+1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}$ remain in the interior of $\mathcal{C}''' = J''' + R_j(P)\mathbb{B}^n$. Now, all vertices lie in the interior of $\mathcal{C}'''$, once more a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Let $P = \mathrm{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}\}$ be a polytope in $\mathbb{E}^n$, $1 \le j \le n$, and J be an $(n-j)$-flat*

such that $\mathcal{C} = J + R_j(P)\mathbb{B}^n$ is a minimal enclosing j-cylinder of P. If there exists a hyperplane $H_I = \text{aff}\{v^{(i)} : i \in I\}$ which is parallel to J, then one of the following holds:

a) There exists a vertex $v^{(i)} \notin H_I$ that lies on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$; or

b) $j \geq 2$, $J \subset H_I$, and $R_j(P) = R_{j-1}(P \cap H_I)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, for $j = 1$ always a) holds; so let $j \geq 2$, and suppose neither a) nor b) holds. Since b) does not hold there exist $(n-j)$-flats parallel to J and closer to H_I, and since a) does not hold, for any such $(n-j)$-flat J', such that all vertices $v^{(i)} \notin H_I$ stay within $\mathcal{C}$, the distances from the vertices $v^{(i)}$, $i \in I$, to J' are strictly smaller than their distances to J. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ cannot be a minimal enclosing cylinder. $\square$

In the case that P is a simplex, the proof can be carried out more explicitly: Let $P^{(n+1)}$ be the facet of P not including the vertex $v^{(n+1)}$. Suppose that J is parallel to $P^{(n+1)}$, that $P^{(n+1)} \subset H := \{x \in \mathbb{E}^n : x_n = 0\}$, and that $v_n^{(n+1)} > 0$. Let $p \in J$. Since $v_n^{(n+1)} > 0$ it follows $p_n \geq 0$ and obviously

$$R_j(P) \geq v_n^{(n+1)} - p_n. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, since J is parallel to $P^{(n+1)}$,

$$R_j(P)^2 = R_{j-1}^2(P^{(n+1)}) + p_n^2. \tag{4}$$

Let $p_n^* = ((v_n^{(n+1)})^2 - R_{j-1}^2(P^{(n+1)}))/2v_n^{(n+1)}$ be the unique minimal solution for p_n to (3) and (4). Due to $p_n \geq 0$, we obtain $p_n = \max\{0, p_n^*\}$. Now, we see that case a) holds if $p_n = p_n^*$ and case b) if $p_n = 0$.

If the number ν of affinely independent vertices of P lying on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ is at most n, it follows from Lemma 4 and 5 that case b) of Theorem 1 must hold. Moreover, if $\nu \leq n - 1$ we can apply these lemmas on the lower-dimensional polytope $P \cap H_I$ with H_I as in Lemma 5. This argument can be iterated. If during this iteration the outer 1-radius of a polytope P' has to be computed, then by Proposition 3 the minimal enclosing 1-cylinder touches at least $\dim(P') + 1$ affinely independent vertices. From the same iterative argument it follows that $R_j(P) = R_{j+\nu-n-1}(P \cap F)$ for some $(\nu - 1)$-flat F.

Suppose $S = \text{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n+1)}\}$ is a simplex in $\mathbb{E}^n$, and $\bar{J}$ an $(n-j)$-flat, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{dist}(v^{(1)}, J) &= \cdots = \text{dist}(v^{(n-j+2)}, J) \\
&= R_1(\text{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n-j+2)}\}) \\
&> \text{dist}(v^{(n-j+3)}, J) \\
&\geq \cdots \geq \text{dist}(v^{(n+1)}, J).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $R_j(S) = R_1(\text{conv}\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n-j+2)}\})$ and $n - j + 2$ vertices are situated on the boundary of the minimal enclosing j-cylinder.

The last point which remains to proof Theorem 1 is that every minimal enclosing j-cylinder of the regular simplex T^n is circumscribing. Due to Proposition 4 it suffices to show that p_n^* is positive for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, showing that b) in Lemma 5 never holds for T^n. We omit the details and refer to the full paper [2].

4 Reduction to an algebraic optimization problem

In this section, we provide an algebraic formulation for a minimal circumscribing j-cylinder $J + \rho(\mathbb{B}^{n+1} \cap \mathcal{H}_0^n)$ of the regular simplex $\mathbf{T}^n$. Let $J = p + \text{lin}\{s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)}\}$ with pairwise orthogonal (p.o.) $s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)} \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, and p be contained in the orthogonal complement of $\text{lin}\{s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)}\}$. The projection P of a vector $z \in \mathcal{H}_1^n$ onto the orthogonal complement of $\text{lin}\{s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)}\}$ (relative to $\mathcal{H}_1^n$) can be written as $P(z) = (I - \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} s^{(k)}(s^{(k)})^T)z$, where I denotes the identity matrix. Using the convention $x^2 := x \cdot x$, the computation of the square of R_j for a polytope with vertices $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}$ (embedded in $\mathcal{H}_1^n$) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&&\min \; &\rho^2 \\
\text{(i)} && \text{s.t.} \quad (p - Pv^{(i)})^2 &\leq \rho^2, \\
\text{(ii)} && p \cdot s^{(k)} &= 0, \\
\text{(iii)} && s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)} &\in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}, \quad \text{p.o.}, \\
\text{(iv)} && p &\in \mathcal{H}_1^n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n - j$. In the case of $\mathbf{T}^n$, (i) can be replaced by

$$\text{(i')} \qquad \left(p - e^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} s_i^{(k)} s^{(k)}\right)^2 = \rho^2,$$

where the equality sign follows from Theorem 1. By (ii) and $s^{(k)} \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, (i') can be simplified to

$$\text{(i'')} \qquad p^2 - \rho^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} (s_i^{(k)})^2 + 2p_i - 1.$$

Summing over all i gives $(n+1)(p^2 - \rho^2) = (n-j) + 2 - (n+1)$, i.e., $p^2 - \rho^2 = \frac{1-j}{n+1}$. We substitute this value into (i'') and obtain $p_i = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n-j+2}{n+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-j}(s_i^{(k)})^2\right)$. Hence, all the p_i can be replaced in terms of the $s_i^{(k)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^2 &= \frac{(2 + (n-j))(2 - (n-j))}{4(n+1)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}(s_i^{(k)})^2\right)^2 + \frac{j-1}{n+1}, \quad (5) \\
p \cdot s^{(k)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\sum_{k'=1}^{n-j}(s_i^{(k')})^2 s_i^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrive at the following characterization of the minimal enclosing j-cylinders:

Theorem 6 *Let* $1 \leq j \leq n$. *A set of vectors* $s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)} \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ *spans the underlying* $(n-j)$-*dimensional subspace of a minimal enclosing j-cylinder of* $\mathbf{T}^n \subset \mathcal{H}_1^n$ *if and only if it is an optimal solution of the problem*

$$\min \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} (s_i^{(k)})^2 \right)^2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k'=1}^{n-j} (s_i^{(k')})^2 s_i^{(k)} = 0,$$

$$s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(n-j)} \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}, \quad p.o.,$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, n-j$.

In case $j = n - 1$ the previous program reduces to (1). By (5), in order to prove $R_{n-1}(T^n) = (2n-1)/(2\sqrt{n(n+1)})$ for even n, we have to show that the optimal value of (1) is $1/n$. We apply the following statement from [1].

Proposition 7 *Let* $n \geq 2$. *The direction vector* $(s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1})^T$ *of any extreme circumscribing* $(n-1)$-*cylinder of* $\mathbf{T}^n$ *satisfies* $|\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1}\}| \leq 3$.

Using Proposition 7, (1) can be written as the following polynomial optimization problem in six variables with additional *integer* conditions.

$$\min k_1 s_1^4 + k_2 s_2^4 + k_3 s_3^4$$

(i) $\quad$ s.t. $\quad k_1 s_1^3 + k_2 s_2^3 + k_3 s_3^3 = 0,$

(ii) $\quad\quad\quad k_1 s_1^2 + k_2 s_2^2 + k_3 s_3^2 = 1,$

(iii) $\quad\quad\quad k_1 s_1 + k_2 s_2 + k_3 s_3 = 0,$ $\quad$ (6)

(iv) $\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n + 1,$

$$s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since the odd case of Theorem 2 is well-known [9, 12], we assume from now on that n is even.

For $k_3 = 0$ the equality constraints in (6) immediately yield $k_1 = k_2 = (n+1)/2 \notin \mathbb{N}$, and similarly, for $s_2 = s_3$ we obtain $k_1 = k_2 + k_3 = (n+1)/2 \notin \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we can assume that s_1, s_2, and s_3 are distinct and $k_1, k_2, k_3 \geq 1$. Moreover, for $s_3 = 0$ the resulting optimal value is $1/n$ which will turn out to be the optimal solution. Finally, by (iii), not all of the s_i have the same sign. Hence it suffices to show that for $s_1 < 0$ and $s_3 > s_2 > 0$ every admissible solution to the constraints of (6) has value at least $1/n$.

The linear system in k_1, k_2, k_3 defined by (i), (ii), and (iii) is regular and can be solved for k_1, k_2, k_3:

$$k_1 = \frac{s_2 + s_3}{-s_1(s_2 - s_1)(s_3 - s_1)}, \quad (7)$$

$$k_2 = \frac{s_1 + s_3}{s_2(s_2 - s_1)(s_3 - s_2)}, \quad (8)$$

$$k_3 = \frac{-(s_1 + s_2)}{s_3(s_3 - s_1)(s_3 - s_2)}. \quad (9)$$

Since all factors in the denominators are strictly positive, (8) and (9) imply in particular $s_1 + s_3 > 0$ and $s_1 + s_2 < 0$.

With (iv) in (6) we can express one of the s_i by the others, e.g. $s_2 = -\frac{s_1 + s_3}{(n+1)s_1 s_3 + 1}$, and using this it can be successively shown that $k_1 < (n+1)/2$. Thus by the integer condition $k_1 \leq n/2$, and it follows that for any admissible solution to the constraints of (6) the objective value is at least $1/n$ (for details see [2]). By our remark before Proposition 7 this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[1] R. Brandenberg, T. Theobald. Algebraic methods for computing smallest enclosing and circumscribing cylinders of simplices. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* 14:439–460, 2004.

[2] R. Brandenberg, T. Theobald. Radii minimal projections of polytopes and constrained optimization of symmetric polynomials. Preprint.

[3] A. Brieden, P. Gritzmann, R. Kannan, V. Klee, L. Lovász, M. Simonovits. Deterministic and randomized polynomial-time approximation of radii. *Mathematika* 48:63–105, 2001.

[4] T.M. Chan. Approximating the diameter, width, smallest enclosing cylinder and min.-width annulus. *Int. J. Comp. Geom. & Appl.* 12:67–85, 2002.

[5] O. Devillers, B. Mourrain, F. Preparata, Ph. Trébuchet. On circular cylinders through 4 or 5 points. *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 29:83–104, 2002.

[6] P. Gritzmann, V. Klee. Inner and outer *j*-radii of convex bodies in finite-dimensional normed spaces. *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 7:255-280, 1992.

[7] P. Gritzmann, V. Klee. Computational complexity of inner and outer *j*-radii of polytopes. *Math. Program.* 59A:163-213, 1993.

[8] S. Har-Peled, K. Varadarajan. High-dimensional shape fitting in linear time. *Disc. Comput. Geom.* 32:269-288, 2004.

[9] S.V. Pukhov. Kolmogorov diameters of a regular simplex. *Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull.* 35: 38–41, 1980.

[10] E. Schömer, J. Sellen, M. Teichmann, C. Yap. Smallest enclosing cylinders. *Algorithmica* 27:170–186, 2000.

[11] K.R. Varadarajan, S. Venkatesh, J. Zhang. On approximating the radii of point sets in high dimensions, *FOCS 2002*, Vancouver, pp. 561–569.

[12] B. Weißbach. Über die senkrechten Projektionen regulärer Simplexe, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* 15:35–41, 1983.

[13] B. Weißbach. Über Umkugeln von Projektionen regulärer Simplexe, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* 16:127–137, 1983.

[14] Y. Ye, J. Zhang. An improved algorithm for approximating the radii of point sets, *Proc. Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, LNCS 2764:178–187, Springer, 2003.