Exact shape-reconstruction by one-step linearization in EIT

Bastian von Harrach

harrach@ma.tum.de

Department of Mathematics - M1, Technische Universität München, Germany

Joint work with Jin Keun Seo, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea

Dept. of Computational Science and Engineering,
Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea, August 5, 2010.

Mathematical Model

Forward operator of EIT:

$$\Lambda : \ \sigma \mapsto \Lambda(\sigma), \quad \text{"conductivity"} \mapsto \text{"measurements"}$$

- Conductivity: $\sigma \in L^\infty_+(\Omega)$
- Continuum model: $\Lambda(\sigma)$: Neumann-Dirichlet-operator

$$\Lambda(\sigma) : \ g \mapsto u|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad \text{"applied current"} \mapsto \text{"measured voltage"}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \sigma \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega} = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1}$$

- Linear elliptic PDE theory:

$$\forall g \in L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega) \quad \exists! u \in H^1_\diamond(\Omega) \text{ solving (1).}$$

$$\Lambda(\sigma) : L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega) \to L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega) \text{ linear, compact, self-adjoint}$$

Inverse problem

Non-linear forward operator of EIT

$$\Lambda: \ \sigma \mapsto \Lambda(\sigma), \quad L^\infty_+(\Omega) \to \mathcal{L}(L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega))$$

Inverse problem of EIT:

$$\Lambda(\sigma) \mapsto \sigma?$$

Uniqueness ("Calderón problem"):

- Measurements on complete boundary:
  Calderón (1980), Druskin (1982+85), Kohn/Vogelius (1984+85), Sylvester/Uhlmann (1987), Nachman (1996), Astala/Päivärinta (2006)
- Measurements on part of the boundary:
  Bukhgeim/Uhlmann ('02), Knudsen ('06), Isakov ('07), Kenig/Sjöstrand/Uhlmann ('07), **H**. *('08), Imanuvilov/Uhlmann/Yamamoto ('09)*

Linearization

Generic approach: Linearization

$$\Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0) \approx \Lambda'(\sigma_0)(\sigma - \sigma_0)$$

σ_0: known reference conductivity / initial guess / ...

$\Lambda'(\sigma_0)$: Fréchet-Derivative / sensitivity matrix.

$$\Lambda'(\sigma_0) : L^\infty_+(\Omega) \to \mathcal{L}(L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega)).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ Solve linearized equation for difference $\sigma - \sigma_0$.

Often: $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma - \sigma_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ compact. *("shape" / "inclusion")*

Linearization

Linear reconstruction method

e.g. NOSER (Cheney et al., 1990), GREIT (Adler et al., 2009)

$$\text{Solve } \Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa \approx \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0), \text{ then } \kappa \approx \sigma - \sigma_0.$$

- Multiple possibilities to measure residual norm and to regularize.
- No rigorous theory for single linearization step.
- Almost no theory for Newton iteration:

  Dobson (1992): (Local) convergence for regularized EIT equation.
  Lechleiter/Rieder(2008): (Local) convergence for discretized setting.
  No (local) convergence theory for non-discretized case!

Linearization

Linear reconstruction method

e.g. NOSER (Cheney et al., 1990), GREIT (Adler et al., 2009)

$$\text{Solve } \Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa \approx \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0), \text{ then } \kappa \approx \sigma - \sigma_0.$$

- Seemingly, no rigorous results possible for single linearization step.
- Seemingly, only justifiable for small $\sigma - \sigma_0$ (local results).

In this talk: Rigorous and global(!) result about the linearization error.

Exact Linearization

Theorem *(**H.**/Seo, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2010)*

Let κ, σ, σ_0 piecewise analytic and $\Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa = \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0)$. Then

(a) $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}\kappa = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

(b) $\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0) \leq \kappa \leq \sigma - \sigma_0$ on the bndry of $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

$\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}$: outer support (= supp, if supp is compact and has conn. complement)

- Exact solution of lin. equation yields correct (outer) shape.
- No assumptions on $\sigma - \sigma_0$!

$\rightsquigarrow$ Linearization error does not affect shape reconstruction.

Proof: Combination of monotony and localized potentials.

Monotony

Monotony *(in the sense of quadr. forms)*:

$$\Lambda'(\sigma_0)(\sigma-\sigma_0) \leq \underbrace{\Lambda(\sigma)-\Lambda(\sigma_0)}_{=\Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa} \leq \Lambda'(\sigma_0)\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma-\sigma_0)\right).$$

Kang/Seo/Sheen (1997), Kirsch (2005), Ide/Isozaki/Nakata/Siltanen/Uhlmann (2007)

Quadratic forms / energy formulation:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g\Lambda(\sigma_0)g \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_\Omega \sigma_0|\nabla u_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g\Lambda(\sigma)g \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_\Omega \sigma|\nabla u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g\left(\Lambda(\sigma_0)'\kappa\right)g \,\mathrm{d}s = -\int_\Omega \kappa|\nabla u_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$

u_0 (resp. u): solution corresponding to σ_0 (resp. σ) and bndry curr. g.

Bounds on squares

Exact linearization $\Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa = \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0)$ yields:

$$\int_\Omega (\sigma - \sigma_0)|\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \geq \int_\Omega \kappa |\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \geq \int_\Omega \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0)|\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

for all "reference solutions" u_0.

Does this imply

$$\sigma - \sigma_0 \geq \kappa \geq \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0) \text{ ?}$$

- Famous concept of inverse problems for PDEs:

  "Completeness of products" (of solutions of a PDE)
- Here: *"bounds on squares"* (of gradients of solutions of a PDE).

Can we control the "squares"?

Bounds on squares

$$\int_\Omega (\sigma - \sigma_0)|\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \geq \int_\Omega \kappa |\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \geq \int_\Omega \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0)|\nabla u_0|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Localized potentials (H. *2008*):

Make $|\nabla u_0|^2$ arbitrarily large in a region connected to the boundary but keep it small outside the connecting domain.



$$\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0) = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega} \kappa = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$$

Consequences

Theorem

Let κ, σ, σ_0 piecewise analytic and $\Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa = \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0)$. Then

(a) $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}\kappa = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

(b) $\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0) \leq \kappa \leq \sigma - \sigma_0$ on the bndry of $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

Same arguments applied to the Calderón-problem:

$$\Lambda(\sigma) = \Lambda(\sigma_0) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \kappa = 0 :$$

- ⇝ Calderón problem uniquely solvable for piecew. anal. conduct. (already known: *Kohn/Vogelius, 1984*).
- ⇝ Linearized Calderón problem uniquely solvable for p.a. conduct. (already known for piecewise polynomials: *Lechleiter/Rieder, 2008*).

Non-exact Linearization?

Theorem

Let κ, σ, σ_0 piecewise analytic and $\Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa = \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0)$. Then

(a) $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}\kappa = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

(b) $\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0) \leq \kappa \leq \sigma - \sigma_0$ on the bndry of $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

- Existence of exact solution is unknown!
- In practice: finite-dimensional, noisy measurements.

Proof only requires

$$\Lambda'(\sigma_0)(\sigma - \sigma_0) \leq \Lambda'(\sigma_0)\kappa \leq \Lambda'(\sigma_0)\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma}(\sigma - \sigma_0)\right). \qquad (*)$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ Solve linearized equation s.t. (*) is fulfilled.

Non-exact Linearization

Additional definiteness assumption: $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$.

Assume we are given

- Noisy data $\tilde{\Lambda}_m(\sigma) - \tilde{\Lambda}_m(\sigma_0) \to \Lambda(\sigma) - \Lambda(\sigma_0)$
- Noisy sensitivity $\tilde{\Lambda}'_m(\sigma_0) \to \Lambda'(\sigma_0)$.
- Finite-dim. subspace $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \ldots \subset L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega)$ with dense union.

Equip V_k with norm

$$\|g\|^2_{(m)} := \langle (\tilde{\Lambda}_m(\sigma) - \tilde{\Lambda}_m(\sigma_0))g, g\rangle.$$

Minimize (Galerkin approx. of) linearization residual

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\sigma) - \tilde{\Lambda}(\sigma_0) - \tilde{\Lambda}'(\sigma_0)\kappa_m$$

in the sense of quadratic forms on V_k.

Non-exact Linearization

Theorem *(**H.**/Seo, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2010)*

For appropriately chosen $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, every V_k and suff. large m,

$$\exists \kappa_m : \quad -\delta_1 \leq \tilde{\Lambda}(\sigma) - \tilde{\Lambda}(\sigma_0) - \tilde{\Lambda}'(\sigma_0)\kappa_m \leq \delta_2.$$

(in the sense of quadr. forms on V_k, κ_m piecewise analytic)

Every piecewise analytic L^∞-limit κ of a converging subsequence fulfills

(a) $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}\kappa = \mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

(b) $\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma} - \delta_1\right)(\sigma - \sigma_0) \leq \kappa \leq (\delta_2 + 1)(\sigma - \sigma_0)$ on bndry of $\mathrm{supp}_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma - \sigma_0)$.

Convergence guaranteed if $\sigma - \sigma_0$ belongs to fin-dim. ansatz space.

$\rightsquigarrow$ *Globally convergent shape reconstruction by one-step linearization.*

Summary

- The linearization error in EIT does not affect the shape.
- With additional definiteness assumption, we derived a

  local one-step linearization algorithm

  with *globally convergent* shape reconstruction properties.
- Additional definiteness property is typical for shape reconstruction.

Open questions

- Numerical implementation?
- Formulation as Tikhonov regularization with special norms?
- Definiteness only enters in V_k-norm. Can this be replaced by other oszillation-preventing regularization?