BRANCHING PROCESSES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS: LECTURE 9: Maximum of a critical process

May 18, 2005

1 Maximum of the critical Galton-Watson process

Let Z(n), n = 0, 1, 2, ... be a critical Galton – Watson process (GWP) with $Z(0) = 1, \xi$ be the 'offspring variable' (the distribution of which coincides with that of Z(n) conditioned that Z(n) = 1) with the generating function (g.f.) f(s). Denote by

$$M_n = \max_{0 \le k \le n} Z_k$$
 and $M = \max_n M_n$

the partial and global maxima of the process $\{Z(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ respectively. Recall that, in the critical case, $M < \infty$ a.s., for the process becomes extinct in a finite time $\tau = \min\{n : Z(n) = 0\}$ with probability one.

Theorem 1 If

$$f(s) = \mathbf{E}s^{\xi}, \qquad \mathbf{E}\xi = f'(1-) = 1, \ f''(1) = 2B \in (0,\infty),$$
 (1)

then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x \mathbf{P}(M > x) = 1.$$
(2)

We prove this theorem in several steps. Let ζ_n , n = 1, 2, ... be i.i.d. random variables having the same distribution as $\zeta = \xi - 1$ and hence having zero means. Put

 $S_0=1, \qquad S_n=S_{n-1}+\zeta_n, \quad n\geq 1.$

Recall that, without the loss of generality, we may assume that the process $\{Z(n)\}$ is embedded into the r.w. $\{S_n\}$: for $V_{-1} = 0$,

$$Z(n) = S_{V_{n-1}}, \qquad V_n = \sum_{k=0}^n Z_k, \qquad n \ge 0.$$
 (3)

Clearly V_k are stopping times for the r.w. $\{S_n\}$, and the latter can be replaced in (3) by the stopped r.w.

$$S_n^* = S_{n \wedge \tau_0}, \qquad \tau_0 = \min\{k \ge 1 : S_k = 0\}.$$

Denote by

$$M^* = \max_{n \ge 0} S_n^*$$

the global maximum of the stopped r.w. $\{S_n^*\}$. It is obvious from (3) that $M \leq M^*$, and for the maximum M^* , it was proved in Pakes (Journal of Applied Probability, 15(1978), pp.292-299)) that, if (1) holds then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x \mathbf{P}(M^* > x) = 1. \tag{4}$$

The following lemma shows that, on the other hand, M^* cannot be 'essentially greater' than M, and hence the relation (4) implies the same asymptotics for the distribution of M.

Lemma 2. For any critical GWP $\{Z(n)\}$, there exists a function $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, such that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathbf{P}(M^* > (1+\varepsilon)x) \le \mathbf{P}(M > x) \le \mathbf{P}(M^* > x) \le \frac{1}{x}.$$
 (5)

Proof of Lemma 1. The second inequality in (5) is obvious from (3), while the last inequality in (5) follows from the Doob inequality for the stopped r.w. S_n^* which is clearly a martingale with $ES_n^* = ES_0^* = 1$. Thus it remains only to prove the first inequality in (5).

Letting $y = (1 + \varepsilon)x$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\mathbf{P}(M > x) \geq \mathbf{P}(M > x; M^* > y) = \mathbf{P}(M > x | M^* > y) \mathbf{P}(M^* > y)$$
(6)
= $(1 - \mathbf{P}(M \le x | M^* > y)) \mathbf{P}(M^* > y).$

Put

$$T = \min\{k \ge 1 : S_k^* > y\}, \qquad m = \min\{j \ge 1 : V_j > T\}$$

Since $\{M^* > y\} = \{T < \infty\}$, we see that

$$\mathbf{P} (M \le x | M^* > y) = \mathbf{P} (M \le x | T < \infty)$$

$$\le \mathbf{P} (Z_m \le x, Z_{m+1} \le x | T < \infty)$$

$$\le \mathbf{P} (Z_m \le x, S_{V_m} - S_T \le x - y | T < \infty).$$
(7)

But $V_m - T \leq Z_m$ by the definition of m, and, on the event $\{Z_m \leq x\}$, one has

$$S_{V_m} - S_T \ge \min_{j \le x} \left(S_{T+j} - S_T \right).$$

By the strong Markov property, the last expression does not depend on T and has, conditioned that $T < \infty$, the same distribution as $\min_{j \le x} S_j$. Therefore

the right hand side of (7) does not exceed

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\min_{j\leq x} S_j \leq -x\varepsilon\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(x^{-1}\min_{j\leq x} S_j \leq -\varepsilon\right).$$
(8)

Further, by the strong law of large numbers $x^{-1}S_x \to 0$ a.s. as $x \to \infty$, and hence

$$x^{-1} \max_{j \le x} |S_j| \to 0 \quad as \quad x \to \infty,$$

so that, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the probability (8) tends to 0 as $x \to \infty$. This means that, for some positive function $\varepsilon(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\min_{j\leq x} S_j \leq -x\varepsilon(x)\right) \leq \varepsilon(x).$$
(9)

In view of (6) and (7) for $y = (1 + \varepsilon(x))x$, relation (9) gives

$$\mathbf{P}(M > x) \ge (1 - \varepsilon(x))\mathbf{P}(M^* > (1 + \varepsilon(x))x)$$

Lemma 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1 follows immediately from Lemma 1 and relation (4).

The next lemma gives both upper and lower bounds for the expectations EM_n in terms of the tail P(M > x).

Lemma 3 For any t > 0,

$$-t\mathbf{P}(Z(n)>0) \le \mathbf{E}M_n - \int_0^t \mathbf{P}(M>x) \, dx \le \frac{2nB}{t}.$$
 (10)

Proof of Lemma 3. For any t > 0,

$$\mathbf{E}M_n = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(M_n > x) \, dx \le \int_0^t \mathbf{P}(M > x) \, dx + \int_t^\infty \mathbf{P}(M_n > x) \, dx.$$
(11)

To estimate the last integral, observe that, since $\{Z(n)\}$ is a martingale, the Doob's inequality yields

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n > x) = \mathbf{P}(\max_{0 \le k \le n} Z(n) > x) \le x^{-2} \mathbf{E} Z^2(n) = 2x^{-2} Bn.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_t^\infty \mathbf{P}(M_n > x) \, dx \le 2 \int_t^\infty x^{-2} Bn \, dx = \frac{2Bn}{t}.$$

The right inequality in (10) is proved.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}M_n &\geq \mathbf{E} \left(M_n; Z(n) = 0 \right) = \mathbf{E} \left(M; Z(n) = 0 \right) \\ &\geq \int_0^t \mathbf{P} \left(M > x; Z(n) = 0 \right) dx = \int_0^t \mathbf{P} \left(M > x \right) dx \\ &- \int_0^t \mathbf{P} \left(M > x; Z(n) > 0 \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_0^t \mathbf{P} \left(M > x \right) dx - t \mathbf{P} \left(Z(n) > 0 \right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Theorem 4 If conditions (1) are valid then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}Z(n)}{\log n} = 1.$$
(12)

Proof. First we note that Theorem 1 yields

$$\int_0^n \mathbf{P} (M > x) \, dx = (1+\theta) \, \log n \tag{13}$$

where $\theta = \theta(n) \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. We know that under conditions (1) the non-extinction probability of the process has the asymptotic representation

$$\mathbf{P}\left(Z(n)>0\right)\sim\frac{1}{Bn}$$

Thus, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\delta)$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$

$$\mathbf{E}M_n \geq \int_0^n \mathbf{P} \left(M > x \right) dx - n \mathbf{P} \left(\tau > n \right)$$

$$\geq (1 - \delta) \log n - \frac{3n}{nB}$$

$$= (1 - \delta) \log n - \frac{3}{B}$$
(14)

To make use of the right inequality in (10) we let t = n. We have that for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\delta)$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$

$$\mathbf{E}M_n \le (1+\delta)\log n + 2B. \tag{15}$$

Now relations (14) and (15) mean that, for any positive $\delta > 0$,

$$1 - \delta \le \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}M_n}{\log n} \le \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}M_n}{\log n} \le 1 + \delta.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, the theorem follows.