

### Monotonicity methods for inverse coefficient problems

Bastian von Harrach

http://numerical.solutions

Institute of Mathematics, Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany

2018 Joint Meeting of the Korean Mathematical Society and the German Mathematical Society COEX, Seoul, Korea, October 3–6, 2018.



#### Calderón problem

Can we recover  $\sigma \in L^\infty_+(\Omega)$  in

$$\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \qquad (1)$$

from all possible Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values

 $\{(u|_{\partial\Omega}, \sigma\partial_{\nu}u|_{\partial\Omega}) : u \text{ solves (1)}\}?$ 

Equivalent: Recover  $\sigma$  from Neumann-to-Dirichlet-Operator

 $\Lambda(\sigma): L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega) \to L^2_\diamond(\partial\Omega), \quad g \mapsto u|_{\partial\Omega},$ 

where *u* solves (1) with  $\sigma \partial_v u |_{\partial \Omega} = g$ .

#### GOETHE UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN

#### Application: Electrical impedance tomography



- Apply electric currents on subject's boundary
- Measure necessary voltages
- → Reconstruct conductivity inside subject

Generic approaches for inverting  $\sigma \mapsto \Lambda(\sigma)$ 



► Penalty-based regularization: Minimize Tikhonov functional  $\|\Lambda_{\text{meas}} - \Lambda(\sigma)\|^2 + \alpha \|\sigma - \sigma_0\|^2 \rightarrow \min!$ 

 $\sigma_0$ : Initial guess or known reference state (e.g. exhaled state)

Deep learning based methods:

Given training data  $\{(\sigma_n, \Lambda(\sigma_n)) : n = 1, ..., N\}$  minimize

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\sigma_n - f(\Lambda(\sigma_n))\|^2 \to \min!$$

over all functions  $f \in \mathbb{DL}$  described by DL-network.

Advantages: Very flexible, additional data/unknowns easily added Disadvantages: Almost no rigorous theory (convergence, resolution, ...)

Is there any specific problem structure that we can use to derive convergent algorithms?



For two conductivities  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ :

$$\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \implies \Lambda(\sigma_0) \geq \Lambda(\sigma_1)$$

This follows from (Kang/Seo/Sheen 1997, Ikehata 1998)

$$\int_{\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0) |\nabla u_0|^2 \ge \int_{\partial \Omega} g(\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0) - \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1)) g \ge \int_{\Omega} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0) |\nabla u_0|^2$$

for all solutions  $u_0$  of

$$\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \nabla u_0) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \partial_{\boldsymbol{v}} u_0|_{\partial \Omega} = g.$$



# The monotonicity method for inclusion detection in EIT



#### Sample inclusion detection problem (for ease of presentation)

- σ<sub>0</sub> = 1
- $\sigma = 1 + \chi_D$
- *D* open,  $\overline{D} \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$  connected

#### All of the following also holds for

- $\sigma_0$  pcw. analytic and known,
- $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \kappa \chi_D$  with  $\kappa \in L^{\infty}_+(D)$ ,
- in any dimension  $n \ge 2$ ,
- for partial boundary data on open subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial \Omega$ .



#### Monotonicity method

#### Sample inclusion detection problem

•  $\sigma_0 = 1, \ \sigma = 1 + \chi_D, \quad D \text{ open}, \quad \overline{D} \subseteq \Omega, \quad \Omega \smallsetminus \overline{D} \text{ connected}$ 

#### Monotonicity

 $\, \bullet \, \tau \leq \sigma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Lambda(\tau) \geq \Lambda(\sigma)$ 

Monotonicity-based inclusion detection (Tamburrino/Rubinacci 2002):

$$B \subseteq D \implies 1 + \chi_B \leq \sigma \implies \Lambda(1 + \chi_B) \geq \Lambda(\sigma)$$

#### Algorithm:

- Mark all balls *B* with  $\Lambda(1 + \chi_B) \ge \Lambda(\sigma)$
- Result: upper bound of D.

#### Only an upper bound? Converse monotonicity relation?



Monotonicity method (for simple test example)

Theorem (H./Ullrich, 2013)

$$B \subseteq D \iff \Lambda(1+\chi_B) \ge \Lambda(\sigma).$$

For faster implementation:

$$B \subseteq D \iff \Lambda(1) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda'(1)\chi_B \ge \Lambda(\sigma).$$

Shape can be reconstructed by linearized monotonicity tests.

Idea of proof: Combine monotonicity inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) |\nabla u_0|^2 \ge \int_{\partial \Omega} g(\Lambda(\sigma_0) - \Lambda(\sigma_1)) g \ge \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) |\nabla u_0|^2$$
with localized potentials *(H., 2008)*:

$$\int_{D_1} \left| \nabla u_0^{(k)} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{D_2} \left| \nabla u_0^{(k)} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$



#### Monotonicity-based regularization

For real data: Monotonicity for regularizing residuum-based methods

- Rigorous convergence of reconstructed shape (H./Mach, 2016)
- Comparison with heuristic standard for tank data (H./Mach, 2018)





monoton.-regularized

- EIDORS: http://eidors3d.sourceforge.net (Adler/Lionheart)
- EIDORS standard solver: heuristic linearized method with Tikhonov regularization
- Dataset: iirc\_data\_2006 (Woo et al.): 2cm insulated inclusion in 20cm tank

using interpolated data on active electrodes (H., Inverse Problems 2015)



## Monotonicity-based Uniqueness and Lipschitz-stability



#### Uniqueness

Monotonicity & localized potentials yield uniqueness results:

▶ Non-linear Calderón problem: (Kohn/Vogelius 1985, H./Seo 2010) If  $\sigma_1 \in L^{\infty}_+(\Omega)$  fulfills (UCP) and  $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1$  is pcw. analytic then

 $\Lambda(\sigma_1) - \Lambda(\sigma_2)$  implies  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ .

Linearized Calderón problem: (H./Seo 2010) If  $\sigma_1 \in L^{\infty}_+(\Omega)$  fulfills (UCP) and  $\kappa \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is pcw. analytic then

$$\Lambda'(\sigma_1)\kappa = 0$$
 implies  $\kappa = 0$ .

Linearized & discretized Calderón problem: (Lechleiter/Rieder 2008) With enough electrodes, the linearized Calderón problem with CEM is uniquely solvable in fin.-dim. subspaces of pcw. analytic functions (e.g., pcw. polynomials of fixed degree on fixed partition).



#### Nonlinear Calderón problem with electrode measurements



Current-to-Voltage operator

$$R_M(\sigma): \mathbb{R}^M_\diamond \to \mathbb{R}^M_\diamond, \quad (J_1, \dots, J_M) \mapsto (U_1, \dots, U_M).$$

## What constraints on $\sigma$ can make the inverse problem $R_M(\sigma) \mapsto \sigma$ well-posed?

#### Uniqueness and Lipschitz-stability for fixed resolution

#### Assumptions:

- Increasing number of electrodes fulfilling Hyvönen conditions
- *F*: finite-dimensional subset of pcw.-analytic functions
   (e.g., pcw. constant on fixed a-priori known partition)
- Known background conductivity:  $\exists U$  nbr.hood of  $\partial \Omega$ ,  $\sigma_0 \in C^{\infty}$ , so that  $\sigma|_U = \sigma_0|_U$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$
- A-prior known bounds

$$\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \coloneqq \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{F} : a \le \sigma(x) \le b \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \}$$

Theorem. (H, submitted)  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}, c > 0$ :

$$\|R_M(\sigma_1)-R_M(\sigma_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^M_\diamond)} \ge c \|\sigma_1-\sigma_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \forall \sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}, M \ge N.$$



#### Proof (main ideas)

Monotonicity (H/Ullrich, 2015)

$$\langle (R'(\sigma_2)(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)) J, J \rangle_M = \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) |\nabla u_{\sigma_2}^{(J)}|^2 dx \leq \langle (R_M(\sigma_1) - R_M(\sigma_2)) J, J \rangle_M.$$

→ Lower bound on Lipschitz stability

$$\|R_M(\sigma_1) - R_M(\sigma_2)\| \geq \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\| \inf_{\substack{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \kappa) \\ \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \times \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \times \mathcal{K} \\ \|J\| = 1}} \sup_{J \in \mathbb{R}_{\phi}^{\wedge} \\ \|J\| = 1}} f_M(\tau_1, \tau_2, \kappa, J),$$

 $f_M(\tau_1,\tau_2,\kappa,J) \coloneqq \max\left\{\left(\left(R'_M(\tau_1)\kappa\right)J,J\right),-\left(\left(R'_M(\tau_2)\kappa\right)J,J\right)\right\},\right.$ 

Relation to NtD-operators, localized potentials & compactness

$$\inf_{\substack{(\tau_1,\tau_2,\kappa)\\ \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \times \mathcal{K} \\ \|J\| = 1}} \sup_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\circ} \\ \|J\| = 1}} f_{\mathcal{M}}(\tau_1,\tau_2,\kappa,J) > 0$$



#### Conclusions

#### Ikehata-Kang-Seo-Sheen Monotonicity yields

- fundamental relation between measurements and unknowns,
- convergent inclusion detection methods,
- rigorous regularizers for residuum-based methods,
- theoretical uniqueness and Lipschitz stability results.

#### Approach can be extended

- to partial boundary data, independently of dimension  $n \ge 2$ ,
- to stochastic settings,
- at least partially to closely related problems (diffuse optical tomography, magnetostatics, inverse scattering, eddy-current equations, p-Laplacian, fractional diffusion, ...)