

# ERRATUM: MONOTONICITY IN INVERSE MEDIUM SCATTERING ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

ROLAND GRIESMAIER\* AND BASTIAN HARRACH†

**Abstract.** We correct a mistake in the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [R. Griesmaier and B. Harrach. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 78(5):2533–2557, 2018].

**Key words.** Inverse scattering, Helmholtz equation, monotonicity, far field operator, inhomogeneous medium

**AMS subject classifications.** 35R30, 65N21

**1. An error in the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [3].** At the end of the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [3] “Applying Theorem 4.5 with  $D = B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}$ ,  $q_1 = 0$ , and  $q_2 = q \dots$ ” is not possible, because the assumption of Theorem 4.5 in [3] that  $q_1(x) = q_2(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$  is not satisfied for this choice of  $D$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

To fix this issue we will extend the results on localized wave functions from Section 4 of [3] in Section 2 below. Then, in Section 3 we will reformulate Theorem 5.3 of [3], making stronger assumptions on the domains and on the index of refraction, and we will correct the final argument in the original proof in [3].

**2. Simultaneously localized wave functions.** We establish the existence of simultaneously localized wave functions that have arbitrarily large norm on some prescribed region  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  while at the same time having arbitrarily small norm in a different region  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , assuming among others that  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\overline{E} \cup \overline{M})$  is connected. The result generalizes Theorem 4.1 in [3] in the sense that we not only control the total field but also the incident field. Similar results have recently been established for the Schrödinger equation in [4, Thm. 3.11] and for the Helmholtz obstacle scattering problem in [1, Thm. 4.5].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose that  $q \in L_{0,+}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and let  $E, M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and Lipschitz bounded such that  $\text{supp}(q) \subseteq \overline{E} \cup \overline{M}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\overline{E} \cup \overline{M})$  is connected, and  $E \cap M = \emptyset$ . Assume furthermore that there is a connected subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial E \setminus \overline{M}$  that is relatively open and  $C^{1,1}$  smooth.*

*Then for any finite dimensional subspace  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  there exists a sequence  $(g_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq V^\perp$  such that*

$$\int_E |u_{q,g_m}|^2 dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M (|u_{q,g_m}|^2 + |u_{g_m}^i|^2) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $u_{g_m}^i, u_{q,g_m} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are given by (2.8a)–(2.8b) in [3] with  $g = g_m$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the following three lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Suppose that  $q \in L_{0,+}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , let  $n^2 = 1 + q$ , and assume that  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$*

---

\*Institut für Angewandte und Numerische Mathematik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, 76049 Karlsruhe, Germany (roland.griesmaier@kit.edu).

†Institut für Mathematik Universität Frankfurt, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany (harrach@math.uni-frankfurt.de).

is open and bounded. We define

$$L_{q,D} : L^2(S^{d-1}) \rightarrow H^1(D), \quad g \mapsto u_{q,g}|_D,$$

where  $u_{q,g} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is given by (2.8b) in [3]. Then  $L_{q,D}$  is a linear operator and its adjoint is given by

$$L_{q,D}^* : H^1(D)^* \rightarrow L^2(S^{d-1}), \quad f \mapsto \mathcal{S}_q^* w^\infty,$$

where  $H^1(D)^*$  is the dual of  $H^1(D)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_q^*$  denotes the adjoint of the scattering operator from (2.7) in [3], and  $w^\infty \in L^2(S^{d-1})$  is the far field pattern of the radiating solution  $w \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta w + k^2 n^2 w = -f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the same arguments that have been used in the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [3].  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that  $q \in L_{0,+}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and let  $E, M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and Lipschitz bounded such that  $\text{supp}(q) \subseteq \overline{E} \cup \overline{M}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\overline{E} \cup \overline{M})$  is connected, and  $E \cap M = \emptyset$ . Assume furthermore that there is a connected subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial E \setminus \overline{M}$  that is relatively open and  $C^{1,1}$  smooth. Then,*

$$\mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*) \not\subseteq \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \quad L_{0,M}^*))$$

and there exists an infinite dimensional subspace  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*)$  such that

$$Z \cap \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \quad L_{0,M}^*)) = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*) \cap \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \quad L_{0,M}^*))$ . Then Lemma 2.2 shows that there exist  $f_{q,E} \in H^1(E)^*$  and  $f_{q,M}, f_{0,M} \in H^1(M)^*$  such that the far field patterns  $w_{q,E}^\infty, w_{q,M}^\infty, w_{0,M}^\infty$  of the radiating solutions  $w_{q,E}, w_{q,M}, w_{0,M} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_{q,E} + k^2(1+q)w_{q,E} &= -f_{q,E} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \Delta w_{q,M} + k^2(1+q)w_{q,M} &= -f_{q,M} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \Delta w_{0,M} + k^2 w_{0,M} &= -f_{0,M} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$h = \mathcal{S}_q^* w_{q,E}^\infty = w_{0,M}^\infty + \mathcal{S}_q^* w_{q,M}^\infty.$$

Here we used that  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is the identity operator. Accordingly, using the definition of the scattering operator in (2.7) of [3], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= w_{q,E}^\infty - w_{q,M}^\infty - \mathcal{S}_q w_{0,M}^\infty \\ &= w_{q,E}^\infty - w_{q,M}^\infty - w_{0,M}^\infty - 2ik|C_d|^2 F_q w_{0,M}^\infty \\ &= w_{q,E}^\infty - (w_{q,M}^\infty + w_{0,M}^\infty + v_q^\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_q^\infty$  is the far field of a radiating solution  $v_q \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to

$$\Delta v_q + k^2(1+q)v_q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since  $\text{supp}(q) \subseteq \overline{E} \cup \overline{M}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\overline{E} \cup \overline{M})$  is connected, Rellich's lemma and unique continuation guarantee that

$$(2.2) \quad w_{q,E} - (w_{q,M} + w_{0,M} + v_q) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\overline{E} \cup \overline{M})$$

(cf., e.g., [2, Thm. 2.14]).

Next we discuss the regularity of the traces of  $w_{q,E}$  and  $w_{q,M} + w_{0,M} + v_q$  at the boundary segment  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial E \setminus \overline{M}$ . W.l.o.g. we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is bounded away from  $\overline{M}$ . Since  $\text{supp}(f_{q,M} + f_{0,M}) \subseteq \overline{M}$ , interior regularity results (see, e.g., [7, Thm. 4.18]) show that  $(w_{q,M} + w_{0,M} + v_q)|_{\Gamma} \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ . Thus (2.2) implies that  $w_{q,E}|_{\Gamma}^+ \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$  as well.

On the other hand, let  $\tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  (see, e.g., [7, p. 99]). We will construct sources  $f \in H^1(E)^*$  such that  $L_{q,E}^* f \notin \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \ L_{0,M}^*))$ . Given any  $g \in \tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ , we denote by  $\tilde{g} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial E)$  its extension to  $\partial E$  by zero. Accordingly, let  $u^+ \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{E})$  be the radiating solution to the exterior Dirichlet problem

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta u^+ + k^2 n^2 u^+ = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{E}, \quad u^+ = \tilde{g} \quad \text{on } \partial E.$$

Similarly, we define  $u^- \in H^1(E)$  as the solution to the interior Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta u^- = 0 \quad \text{in } E, \quad u^- = \tilde{g} \quad \text{on } \partial E.$$

Therewith we introduce  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$u := \begin{cases} u^- & \text{in } E, \\ u^+ & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{E}, \end{cases}$$

and  $f \in H^1(E)^*$  by

$$f := -k^2 n^2 u^- - \gamma^* \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial E}^+ - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial E}^- \right),$$

where  $\gamma^* : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial E) \rightarrow H^1(E)^*$  denotes the adjoint of the interior trace operator  $\gamma : H^1(E) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial E)$ . Then  $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see, e.g., [8, Lmm. 5.3]), and

$$\Delta u + k^2 n^2 u = -f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d$$

(see, e.g., [7, Lmm. 6.9]). Accordingly,  $L_{q,E}^* f = \mathcal{S}_q^* u^\infty$ , where  $u^\infty \in L^2(S^{d-1})$  coincides with the far field of the radiating solution  $u^+$  to the exterior Dirichlet problem (2.3). If  $\tilde{g} \notin H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial E)$ , then our regularity considerations above show that  $L_{q,E}^* f \notin \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \ L_{0,M}^*))$ .

Now let  $X \subseteq \tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  be an infinite dimensional subspace of  $\tilde{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  such that  $X \cap H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma) = \{0\}$  (e.g., the subspace of piecewise linear functions on  $\Gamma$  that vanish on  $\partial\Gamma$  as considered in the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [1]). Let  $G_E : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(S^{d-1})$  be the operator that maps  $g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  to the far field pattern of the radiating solution  $u^+$  of (2.3), where  $\tilde{g} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial E)$  is again the extension of  $g$  to  $\partial E$  by zero. Then  $G_E$  is one-to-one (see, e.g., [1, Thm. 3.2]), and thus  $Z := \mathcal{S}_q^* G_E(X)$  is infinite dimensional. Furthermore, we have just shown that

$$Z \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \cap \mathcal{R}((L_{q,M}^* \ L_{0,M}^*)) = \{0\}.$$

□

In the next lemma we quote a special case of Lemma 2.5 in [6].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Hilbert spaces, and let  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $B : X \rightarrow Z$  be bounded linear operators. Then,*

$$\exists C > 0 : \|Ax\| \leq C\|Bx\| \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathcal{R}(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B^*).$$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  be a finite dimensional subspace. We denote by  $P_V : L^2(S^{d-1}) \rightarrow L^2(S^{d-1})$  the orthogonal projection on  $V$ . Combining Lemma 2.3 with a simple dimensionality argument (see [5, Lmm. 4.7]) shows that

$$Z \not\subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{pmatrix} L_{q,M}^* & L_{0,M}^* \end{pmatrix}\right) + V = \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{pmatrix} L_{q,M}^* & L_{0,M}^* & P_V \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*)$  denotes the subspace in Lemma 2.3. Thus,

$$\mathcal{R}(L_{q,E}^*) \not\subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{pmatrix} L_{q,M}^* & L_{0,M}^* \end{pmatrix}\right) + V = \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{pmatrix} L_{q,M}^* & L_{0,M}^* & P_V \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

and accordingly Lemma 2.4 implies that there is no constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{q,E}g\|_{L^2(E)}^2 &\leq C^2 \left\| \begin{pmatrix} L_{q,M} \\ L_{0,M} \\ P_V \end{pmatrix} g \right\|_{L^2(M) \times L^2(M) \times L^2(S^{d-1})}^2 \\ &= C^2 (\|L_{q,M}g\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \|L_{0,M}g\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \|P_Vg\|_{L^2(S^{d-1})}^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in L^2(S^{d-1})$ . Hence, there exists a sequence  $(\tilde{g}_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{q,E}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(E)} &\rightarrow \infty, \\ \|L_{q,M}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(M)} + \|L_{0,M}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(M)} + \|P_V\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(S^{d-1})} &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $g_m := \tilde{g}_m - P_V\tilde{g}_m \in V^\perp \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we finally obtain

$$\|L_{q,E}g_m\|_{L^2(E)} \geq \|L_{q,E}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(E)} - \|L_{q,E}\| \|P_V\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{q,M}g_m\|_{L^2(M)} + \|L_{0,M}g_m\|_{L^2(M)} &\leq \|L_{q,M}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(M)} + \|L_{0,M}\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\quad + (\|L_{q,M}\| + \|L_{0,M}\|) \|P_V\tilde{g}_m\|_{L^2(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_{q,E}g_m = u_{q,g_m}|_E$ ,  $L_{q,M}g_m = u_{q,g_m}|_M$ , and  $L_{0,M}g_m = u_{g_m}^i|_M$ , this ends the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Correction of the statement and of the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [3].

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $B, D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and Lipschitz bounded such that  $\partial D$  is piecewise  $C^{1,1}$  smooth, and  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{B}$  as well as  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  are connected. Let  $q \in L_{0,+}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\text{supp}(q) = \bar{D}$ , and suppose that  $-1 < q_{\min} \leq q \leq q_{\max} < \infty$  a.e. on  $D$  for some constants  $q_{\min}, q_{\max} \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Furthermore, we assume that for any point  $x \in \partial D$  on the boundary of  $D$ , there exists a connected unbounded neighborhood  $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $x$  such that, for  $E := O \cap D$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad q|_E \geq q_{\min,E} > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad q|_E \leq q_{\max,E} < 0$$

*for some constants  $q_{\min,E}, q_{\max,E} \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(a) If  $D \subseteq B$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\alpha T_B \leq_{\text{fin}} \operatorname{Re}(F_q) \leq_{\text{fin}} \beta T_B \quad \text{for all } \alpha \leq \min\{0, q_{\min}\}, \beta \geq \max\{0, Cq_{\max}\}.$$

(b) If  $D \not\subseteq B$ , then

$$\alpha T_B \not\leq_{\text{fin}} \operatorname{Re}(F_q) \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Re}(F_q) \not\leq_{\text{fin}} \beta T_B \quad \text{for any } \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

REMARK 3.2. The assumptions on  $B$  and  $D$  as well as the *local definiteness assumption* (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 are stronger than in the original version of Theorem 5.3 in [3].  $\diamond$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* If  $D \subseteq B$ , then Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 4.5 in [3] with  $q_1 = 0$  and  $q_2 = q$  show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  and a finite dimensional subspace  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  such that, for all  $g \in V^\perp$  and any  $\beta \geq \max\{0, Cq_{\max}\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{S^{d-1}} g \overline{F_q g} \, ds\right) &\leq k^2 \int_D q |u_{q,g}|^2 \, dx \leq k^2 q_{\max} \int_D |u_{q,g}|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq k^2 C q_{\max} \int_D |u_g^i|^2 \, dx \leq k^2 \beta \int_B |u_g^i|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Theorem 3.2 in [3] with  $q_1 = 0$  and  $q_2 = q$  shows that there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  such that, for all  $g \in V^\perp$  and any  $\alpha \leq \min\{0, q_{\min}\}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{S^{d-1}} g \overline{F_q g} \, ds\right) \geq k^2 \int_D q |u_g^i|^2 \, dx \geq k^2 q_{\min} \int_D |u_g^i|^2 \, dx \geq k^2 \alpha \int_B |u_g^i|^2 \, dx,$$

and part (a) is proven.

We prove part (b) by contradiction. Since  $D \not\subseteq B$ ,  $U := D \setminus B$  is not empty, and there exists  $x \in \overline{U} \cap \partial D$  as well as a connected unbounded open neighborhood  $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $x$  with  $O \cap D \subseteq U$  and  $O \cap B = \emptyset$ , such that (3.1) is satisfied with  $E := O \cap D$ . Furthermore, let  $R > 0$  be large enough such that  $B, D \subseteq B_R(0)$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $O \cap B_R(0)$ , and  $B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}$  are connected.

We first assume that  $q|_E \geq q_{\min,E} > 0$ , and that  $\operatorname{Re}(F_q) \leq_{\text{fin}} \beta T_B$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using the monotonicity relation (3.1) in Theorem 3.2 of [3] with  $q_1 = 0$  and  $q_2 = q$ , we find that there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  such that, for any  $g \in V^\perp$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{S^{d-1}} g \overline{(\operatorname{Re}(F_q)g - \beta T_B g)} \, ds \geq k^2 \int_{B_R(0)} (q - \beta \chi_B) |u_g^i|^2 \, dx \\ &= k^2 \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} (q - \beta \chi_B) |u_g^i|^2 \, dx + k^2 \int_{B_R(0) \cap O} (q - \beta \chi_B) |u_g^i|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq -k^2 (\|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |\beta|) \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} |u_g^i|^2 \, dx + k^2 q_{\min,E} \int_E |u_g^i|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

However, this contradicts Theorem 4.1 in [3] with  $B = E$ ,  $D = B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}$ , and  $q = 0$ , which guarantees the existence of a sequence  $(g_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq V^\perp$  with

$$\int_E |u_{g_m}^i|^2 \, dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} |u_{g_m}^i|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,  $\operatorname{Re}(F_q) \not\leq_{\text{fin}} \beta T_B$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

On the other hand, if  $q|_E \leq q_{\max,E} < 0$ , and if  $\alpha T_B \leq_{\text{fin}} \operatorname{Re}(F_q)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the monotonicity relation (3.3) in Corollary 3.4 of [3] with  $q_1 = 0$  and  $q_2 = q$  shows that there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $V \subseteq L^2(S^{d-1})$  such that, for any  $g \in V^\perp$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{S^{d-1}} g(\overline{\operatorname{Re}(F_q)g} - \alpha T_B g) \, ds \leq k^2 \int_{B_R(0)} (q|u_{q,g}|^2 - \alpha \chi_B |u_g^i|^2) \, dx \\ &= k^2 \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} (q|u_{q,g}|^2 - \alpha \chi_B |u_g^i|^2) \, dx + k^2 \int_{B_R(0) \cap O} (q|u_{q,g}|^2 - \alpha \chi_B |u_g^i|^2) \, dx \\ &\leq k^2 q_{\max} \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} |u_{q,g}|^2 \, dx + k^2 |\alpha| \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} |u_g^i|^2 \, dx + k^2 q_{\max,E} \int_E |u_{q,g}|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M := B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}$ . Since  $\partial D$  is piecewise  $C^{1,1}$  smooth, there is a connected subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial E \setminus \overline{M}$  that is relatively open and  $C^{1,1}$  smooth. Applying Theorem 2.1 we find that there exists a sequence  $(g_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq V^\perp$  such that

$$\int_E |u_{q,g_m}|^2 \, dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_R(0) \setminus \overline{O}} |u_{q,g_m}|^2 + |u_{g_m}^i|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

However, since  $q_{\max,E} < 0$ , this gives a contradiction. Consequently,  $\alpha T_B \not\leq_{\text{fin}} \operatorname{Re}(F_q)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , which ends the proof of part (b).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Albicker and R. Griesmaier. Monotonicity in inverse obstacle scattering on unbounded domains. *Inverse Problems*, 36(8):085014, 27, 2020.
- [2] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2013.
- [3] R. Griesmaier and B. Harrach. Monotonicity in inverse medium scattering on unbounded domains. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 78(5):2533–2557, 2018.
- [4] B. Harrach and Y.-H. Lin. Monotonicity-based inversion of the fractional Schrödinger equation II. General potentials and stability. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 52(1):402–436, 2020.
- [5] B. Harrach, V. Pohjola, and M. Salo. Monotonicity and local uniqueness for the Helmholtz equation. *Anal. PDE*, 12(7):1741–1771, 2019.
- [6] B. Harrach and M. Ullrich. Monotonicity-based shape reconstruction in electrical impedance tomography. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45(6):3382–3403, 2013.
- [7] W. McLean. *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [8] P. Monk. *Finite element methods for Maxwell's equations*. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford University Press, New York, 2003.