

DESCENT OBSTRUCTION AND FUNDAMENTAL EXACT SEQUENCE

DAVID HARARI AND JAKOB STIX

ABSTRACT. We establish a link between the descent obstruction against rational points and sections of the fundamental group extension that has applications to the Brauer–Manin obstruction and to the birational case of the section conjecture in anabelian geometry.

INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic zero with algebraic closure \bar{k} and absolute Galois group $\Gamma_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Let X be a geometrically connected variety over k . Fix a geometric point $\bar{x} \in X(\bar{k})$ and let $\pi_1(X) := \pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ be the étale fundamental group of X . Set $\bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ and denote by $\pi_1(\bar{X}) := \pi_1(\bar{X}, \bar{x})$ the étale fundamental group of \bar{X} . Recall Grothendieck’s fundamental exact sequence of profinite groups, cf. [9] IX Thm 6.1,

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

By covariant functoriality of π_1 , the existence of a k -point on X implies that the exact sequence (1) has a section. Grothendieck’s section conjecture predicts that the converse statement is true whenever X is a proper¹ hyperbolic curve over a number field², see [8].

The goal of this note is to relate the existence of a section for (1) when k is a number field to the fact that X has an adelic point for which there is no descent obstruction (in the sense of [27] II §5.3) associated to torsors under finite group schemes. The first result of this flavour is Theorem 2.1. Its main applications are Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 4.3. The latter seems to be (up to date) the most general known statement relating the descent obstruction to the birational fundamental exact sequence.

In Section 1 we will also prove related statements over arbitrary fields, so that the reader can distinguish between purely formal results and results related to arithmetic properties.

Since we want to deal with variants of the exact sequence (1), for example the abelianized fundamental exact sequence, we need to introduce a general setting as follows. Let $\bar{U} < \pi_1(\bar{X})$ be a closed subgroup which is a normal subgroup in $\pi_1(X)$. For example \bar{U} could be a characteristic subgroup in $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ of which there are plenty, because $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ is finitely generated as a profinite group. We set $\bar{A} = \pi_1(\bar{X})/\bar{U}$. The pushout of (1) by the canonical surjection $\pi_1(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1, \quad (2)$$

that can be defined because \bar{U} is also normal in $\pi_1(X)$ and in particular by definition the kernel of the induced quotient map $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$. This construction contains as special cases for \bar{A} the profinite abelianized group $\pi_1(\bar{X})^{\text{ab}}$ if we take for \bar{U} the closure of the derived subgroup of $\pi_1(\bar{X})$, and $\bar{A} = \pi_1(\bar{X})$ if we take for \bar{U} the trivial group.

Date: February 11, 2011.

¹There is also a version of the section conjecture for affine hyperbolic curves when k -rational cusps need to be considered as well, see [8] page 8/9.

²For a p -adic version of this conjecture, see for example Conjecture 2 of [20] or earlier [14], where the conjecture is not explicitly stated.

Let $(k_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of field extensions of k . The guiding example is the case of a number field k together with the family of all completions k_v of k , or of all corresponding henselizations³ k_v^h of k . Fix an algebraic closure \bar{k}_i of k_i and embeddings $\bar{k} \rightarrow \bar{k}_i$, so that with $\Gamma_i := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_i/k_i)$ we have canonical restriction maps $\theta_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_k$. Moreover, the embedding $\bar{k} \rightarrow \bar{k}_i$ yields canonically a geometric point \bar{x}_i of $X_i := X \times_k k_i$, that projects onto \bar{x} , and thus a canonical map $\pi_1(X_i, \bar{x}_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, \bar{x})$. The reason for assuming characteristic zero in the first place is that by the comparison theorem (cf. [26], p. 186, Remark 5.7.8.), the natural maps induce an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(X_i, \bar{x}_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) \times_{\Gamma_k} \Gamma_i.$$

Thus a section s of (1) induces canonically a section s_i of the analogue of (1) for the k_i -variety X_i . In this formal setting the main goal of this note is to establish a criterion inspired by the descent obstruction for when a collection of sections $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ comes from a section s up to conjugation from $\pi_1(\bar{X})$.

Reminder on nonabelian H^1 . Recall that if G is a finite k -group scheme, then the étale cohomology set $H^1(X, G)$ is the same as the Galois cohomology set $H^1(\pi_1(X), G(\bar{k}))$, where the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $G(\bar{k})$ is induced by the projection map $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ that occurs in (1), cf. [9] XI §5.

The identification is natural in both X and G , although for a map $Y \rightarrow X$ the induced map $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is only well defined up to inner automorphism by an element of $\pi_1(\bar{X})$. In fact, such an inner automorphism acts as the identity on $H^1(\pi_1(X), G)$ by the following reasoning. Recall that in general if $\varphi = \gamma(-)\gamma^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of a profinite group π by an element $\gamma \in \pi$ and M is a discrete π -group, then the map (φ^*, γ^{-1}) which is the composite

$$H^1(\pi, M) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} H^1(\pi, \varphi^* M) \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} H^1(\pi, M),$$

that exploits the π -map "multiplication by γ^{-1} " : $\varphi^* M \rightarrow M$ and which on cocycles is given by

$$(\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma) \mapsto (\sigma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}(a_{\gamma\sigma\gamma^{-1}}))$$

is the identity map. This is classical when M is abelian, see [22], VII.5. Proposition 3, and easy to check in the general case by the same direct computation:

$$\gamma^{-1}(a_{\gamma\sigma\gamma^{-1}}) = \gamma^{-1}(a_\gamma) a_{\sigma\gamma^{-1}} = \gamma^{-1}(a_\gamma) a_\sigma \sigma(\gamma^{-1}(a_\gamma)), \quad (3)$$

which shows that $(\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma)$ is indeed cohomologous to $\sigma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}(a_{\gamma\sigma\gamma^{-1}})$. In our geometric example the element $\gamma \in \pi_1(\bar{X})$ acts trivially on the coefficients $M = G(\bar{k})$ such that (φ^*, γ^{-1}) becomes simply the pullback by conjugation with γ , which therefore acts as identity on $H^1(\pi_1(X), G(\bar{k}))$.

The étale cohomology set $H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{G})$ for $\bar{G} := G \times_k \bar{k}$ is naturally the set

$$\text{Hom}^{\text{out}}(\pi_1(\bar{X}), G(\bar{k}))$$

of continuous homomorphisms up to conjugation by an element of $G(\bar{k})$, see [23] I.5.

The following well known interpretation of $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ will become useful later. Let

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of profinite groups, and let $\bar{\varphi} : \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ be a continuous homomorphism. The set of lifts $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow E$ of $\bar{\varphi}$ up to conjugation by an element of G is either empty or, with the group G equipped with the conjugation action of Γ via a choice of lift φ_0 , in bijection with the corresponding $H^1(\Gamma, G)$. Indeed for a cocycle $a : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ the twist of φ_0 by $a = (\gamma \mapsto a_\gamma)$, i.e. the map $\gamma \mapsto a_\gamma \cdot \varphi_0(\gamma)$, is another lift. Any other lift of $\bar{\varphi}$ can be described by such a twist.

³By convention if k_v is an archimedean completion of k , the henselization k_v^h means the algebraic closure of k into k_v .

Two cocycles are cohomologous if and only if they lead to conjugate lifts. The description of lifts via $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ is natural with respect to both Γ and G .

1. RESULTS OVER ARBITRARY FIELDS

The notation and assumptions in this whole section are as above. In particular we consider the exact sequence (2) associated to a quotient \bar{A} of $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ by a subgroup \bar{U} that remains normal in $\pi_1(X)$.

For each $i \in I$, let $\sigma_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \pi_1(X_i, \bar{x}_i)$ be a section of the fundamental sequence associated to X_i . For example σ_i could be the section associated to a k_i -point $P_i \in X(k_i)$. By composition we obtain a **section map**

$$s_i : \Gamma_i \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} \pi_1(X_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X).$$

Let G be a finite k -group scheme, hence $G(\bar{k})$ is a finite discrete Γ_k -group. Via $\theta_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ we may view $G(\bar{k}) = \theta_i^* G(\bar{k})$ also as a discrete Γ_i -group that describes the base change $G \times_k k_i$.

A cohomology class $\alpha \in H^1(X, G)$ such that the corresponding element $\bar{\alpha} \in H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{G})$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} , has an evaluation $\alpha(s_i) \in H^1(k_i, G)$ as follows. By the restriction–inflation sequence the class α uniquely comes from $H^1(\pi_1^U(X), G(\bar{k}))$ and so the pullback class $\alpha(s_i) := s_i^*(\alpha) \in H^1(k_i, G)$ is defined. Note that the coefficients G here are indeed the group $G(\bar{k})$ with Γ_i action induced by θ_i because s_i comes from a section σ_i . By formula (3) the evaluation does only depend on s_i up to conjugation by an element of $\pi_1^U(X)$ with trivial action on $G(\bar{k})$.

By analogy with [24], Definition 5.2., we say that the tuple of section maps $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ **survives every finite descent obstruction** if the following holds.

- (a) For every finite k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in H^1(X, G)$ such that the corresponding element $\bar{\alpha} \in H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{G})$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} , the family $(\alpha(s_i)) \in \prod_{i \in I} H^1(k_i, G)$ belongs to the diagonal image of $H^1(k, G)$.

Clearly, if the sections s_i are the sections associated to k_i -rational points, then (s_i) survives every finite descent obstruction if and only if the collection (P_i) of rational points survives every finite descent obstruction in the sense of [24]. We furthermore say that the tuple of section maps $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ **survives every finite constant descent obstruction** if the following holds.

- (a') For every finite constant k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in H^1(X, G)$ such that the corresponding element $\bar{\alpha} \in H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{G})$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} , the family $(\alpha(s_i)) \in \prod_{i \in I} H^1(k_i, G)$ belongs to the diagonal image of $H^1(k, G)$.

We first establish a link to continuous homomorphisms $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$. Let us define a **continuous quotient** of a profinite group as a quotient by a normal and closed subgroup.

Proposition 1.1. *Consider the following assertion:*

- (b) *There exists a continuous homomorphism $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ such that for each $i \in I$, we have $s_i = s \circ \theta_i$ up to conjugation in $\pi_1^U(X)$.*

Then (b) implies property (a'). If we assume further that the following hypothesis holds:

- (*) *For every finite and constant k -group scheme G , the fibres of the diagonal restriction map $H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} H^1(k_i, G)$ are finite.*

Then (b) is equivalent to property (a').

Proof. Assume (b). Let G and $\alpha \in H^1(X, G) = \text{Hom}^{\text{out}}(\pi_1(X), G(\bar{k}))$ be as in property (a'). Since the restriction of α to \bar{U} is trivial, the class α corresponds to a map denoted again $\alpha : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow G(\bar{k})$ up to conjugation in $G(\bar{k})$. We get

$$\alpha(s_i) = \alpha \circ s_i = \alpha \circ s \circ \theta_i = \theta_i^*(\alpha(s))$$

up to conjugation in $G(\bar{k})$, so that $(\alpha(s_i))$ is the image of $\alpha(s) \in H^1(k, G)$ under the diagonal map, whence property (a').

Suppose now that assertion (a') and the additional hypothesis (*) hold. We are going to show that (b) holds as well. For a finite continuous quotient $p : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow G$ we consider the set

$$S_G := \{s' \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma_k, G) ; \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \theta_i^*(s') = p \circ s_i \in H^1(k_i, G)\},$$

where we view G as a constant k -group scheme. The set S_G is non empty by assumption (a') and finite thanks to (*) and to the finiteness of G . Therefore $\varprojlim_G S_G$ where G ranges over all finite continuous quotients of $\pi_1^U(X)$ is not empty, see [1] Chapter I §9.6 Proposition 8. An element $s \in \varprojlim_G S_G$ is nothing but a continuous homomorphism

$$s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \varprojlim_G G = \pi_1^U(X)$$

such that for every $i \in I$, and every finite continuous quotient $p : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow G$ the equality $p \circ s \circ \theta_i = p \circ s_i$ holds up to conjugation by elements from the finite set

$$C_{i,G} = \{c \in G, \quad p \circ s \circ \theta_i = c(p \circ s_i)c^{-1}\} \subset G$$

The set $\varprojlim_G C_{i,G}$ is not empty by the same argument, which implies that for each $i \in I$, we have $s \circ \theta_i = s_i$ up to conjugation in $\pi_1^U(X)$. \square

Remarks 1.2. (1) Without additional assumptions, we cannot force the supplementary property that s is a section. Indeed, take $k_i = \bar{k}$ for every $i \in I$. Then all sets $H^1(k_i, G)$ are trivial, hence the condition (a) and thus condition (a') is automatically satisfied. Although condition (b) also holds trivially by the choice of the trivial homomorphism, because there is no interpolation property to be satisfied, nevertheless (2) does not always admit a section, see for example [29] or [12] for counterexamples over local and global fields.

(2) For an example with a nontrivial homomorphism $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ but no section we consider the case $k = \mathbb{R}$, $k_i = \mathbb{C}$ and a real Godeaux–Serre variety. Computations with SAGE, see [21], show that the homogenous equations

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 + z_5^2 + z_6^2 &= 0 \\ z_0 z_2 + z_1 z_3 + z_4^2 + z_5 z_6 &= 0 \\ i(z_0^2 - z_1^2) + 3i(z_2^2 - z_3^2) - 2z_6^2 &= 0 \\ i(z_0 z_1 + z_2 z_3) + z_4 z_5 + z_5 z_6 + z_6 z_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

define a smooth surface Y of general type in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^6$ with ample canonical bundle $\omega_Y = \mathcal{O}(1)|_Y$ as computed by the adjunction formula. By the Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections Y is simply connected. The surface Y is preserved by the $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ -semilinear action of $G = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^6$ generated by

$$[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4 : z_5 : z_6] \mapsto [-\bar{z}_1 : \bar{z}_0 : -\bar{z}_3 : \bar{z}_2 : \bar{z}_4 : \bar{z}_5 : \bar{z}_6],$$

and avoids the fixed point set of the G -action. Hence the quotient map $Y \rightarrow X$ with $X = Y/G$ is the universal cover of the geometrically connected \mathbb{R} -variety X with $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. The analogue of (1) for X is given by

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 1$$

which clearly does not admit sections. Nevertheless, there is a nontrivial morphism $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$.

However, if we suppose that (2) has a section, then we can prove the following stronger approximation result.

Proposition 1.3. *Consider the following assertion:*

- (c) *There exists a section $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ of (2) such that for each $i \in I$, we have $s_i = s \circ \theta_i$ up to conjugation in \bar{A} .*

Then (c) implies (a) which implies the following (a'').

(a'') For every finite k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in H^1(X, G)$ such that the corresponding element $\bar{\alpha} \in H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{G})$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} and is surjective (or equivalently, the G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ corresponding to α is assumed to be geometrically connected), the family $(\alpha(s_i)) \in \prod_{i \in I} H^1(k_i, G)$ belongs to the diagonal image of $H^1(k, G)$.

If we moreover assume that $(*)$ holds and that the exact sequence (2) admits a section s_0 , then the properties (a), (a'') and (c) are all equivalent.

Proof: The implications (c) \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (a'') are obvious because by formula (3) a section s as in (c) implies for every $\alpha \in H^1(X, G)$ as in (a) that

$$\alpha(s_i) = s_i^*(\alpha) = (s \circ \theta_i)^*(\alpha) = \theta_i^*(s^*(\alpha)).$$

It remains to show that (a'') \Rightarrow (c) under the additional assumption of $(*)$ and the existence of a section s_0 of $\pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$. The method is similar to [25], Lemma 9.13, which deals with the case when k is a number field and (k_i) is the family of its completions for miscellaneous \bar{A} , like $\bar{A} = \pi_1(\bar{X})$ or $\bar{A} = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\bar{X})$. For the convenience of the reader we give a grouptheoretic version of the argument.

Let us assume (a''). Let $\bar{V} < \pi_1(\bar{X})$ be an open subgroup of finite index containing \bar{U} and normal in $\pi_1(X)$. Let \bar{A}_V be the quotient $\pi_1(\bar{X})/\bar{V}$ and let $p_V : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^V(X)$ be the corresponding quotient map. The composition $s_{0,V} = p_V \circ s_0$ splits the exact sequence (2) for \bar{V}

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{A}_V \rightarrow \pi_1^V(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1, \quad (4)$$

so that $\pi_1^V(X)$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product. The map p_V and

$$p_{0,V} : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \xrightarrow{s_{0,V}} \pi_1^V(X)$$

lift the natural projection $\pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$. Their difference $\gamma \mapsto p_V(\gamma)p_{0,V}(\gamma)^{-1}$ is a cohomology class $\alpha_V \in H^1(\pi_1^U(X), \bar{A}_V)$, with $\pi_1^U(X)$ acting via $p_{0,V}$ and conjugation, that corresponds to a class in $H^1(X, \bar{A}_V)$ which becomes trivial when restricted to \bar{U} . The restriction of α_V to $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ equals the surjective map $p_V|_{\pi_1(\bar{X})} : \pi_1(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \bar{A}_V$, hence is geometrically connected.

We now apply (a'') to the class α_V . The class $\alpha_V(s_i) = s_i^*(\alpha_V)$ measures the difference between $p_V \circ s_i$ and $p_{0,V} \circ s_i = s_{0,V} \circ \theta_i$. Twisting $s_{0,V}$ by a class in $H^1(k, \bar{A}_V)$ that diagonally maps to $(\alpha_V(s_i))$ we obtain a section $s_V : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^V(X)$ such that $s_V \circ \theta_i$ equals $p_V \circ s_i$ up to conjugation in \bar{A}_V .

Assumption $(*)$ now implies that the set of such sections s_V is finite. Again by [1] Chapter I §9.6 Proposition 8, there is a compatible family of sections (s_V) in the projective limit over all possible \bar{V} , which defines a section

$$s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\bar{V}} \pi_1^V(X) = \pi_1^U(X)$$

such that $s \circ \theta_i = s_i$ up to conjugation in \bar{A} by the projective limit argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.1. This completes the proof of (c). \square

Remark 1.4. It is worth noting that the additional assumption that (2) has a section allows us to find a genuine section s that "interpolates" the s_i up to conjugation even in \bar{A} , and not merely a homomorphism or interpolation up to conjugation in $\pi_1^U(X)$.

We can prove more under the additional assumption of the collection of fields (k_i) being arithmetically sufficiently rich in a sense to be made precise as follows. Consider the following property.

(**) The union of the conjugates of all the images $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ is dense in Γ_k .

Lemma 1.5. *Property (**) is inherited by finite extensions k'/k with respect to the set of all composita $k_i \cdot k'$.*

Proof: For any $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$ let $k'_{i,\sigma}$ be the field extension of k_i associated to the preimage $\Gamma'_{i,\sigma} = \theta_i^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}\Gamma_{k'}\sigma)$ in Γ_i , namely the compositum $k_i\sigma^{-1}(k')$ in \bar{k}_i using the fixed embedding $\sigma^{-1}(k') \subset \bar{k} \subset \bar{k}_i$ that yields θ_i . The inclusion $k' \subset k'_{i,\sigma}$ induces the map $\theta'_{i,\sigma} = \sigma(-)\sigma^{-1} \circ \theta_i : \Gamma'_{i,\sigma} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k'}$.

The union of the conjugates of the images of all $\theta'_{i,\sigma}$ is dense in $\Gamma_{k'}$, saying that property (**) is inherited for finite field extensions k'/k for the new family of fields $(k'_{i,\sigma})$. Indeed, we have to show that

$$\bigcup_{i,\sigma} \theta'_{i,\sigma}(\Gamma'_{i,\sigma}) = \left(\bigcup_{i,\sigma} \sigma\Gamma_i\sigma^{-1} \right) \cap \Gamma_{k'}$$

surjects onto cofinally any finite continuous quotient of $\Gamma_{k'}$. It is enough to treat quotients $p_0 : \Gamma_{k'} \rightarrow G_0$ with $\ker(p_0)$ normal in Γ_k , i.e, the map p_0 extends to a finite continuous quotient $p : \Gamma_k \rightarrow G$. Then

$$p_0\left(\left(\bigcup_{i,\sigma} \sigma\Gamma_i\sigma^{-1}\right) \cap \Gamma_{k'}\right) = p\left(\bigcup_{i,\sigma} \sigma\Gamma_i\sigma^{-1}\right) \cap G_0 = G_0$$

by property (**). □

Lemma 1.6. *Property (**) implies property (*).*

Proof: To prove (*) we consider a finite k -group G and $\alpha \in H^1(k, G)$. We need to show that the following set is finite:

$$\text{III}_\alpha = \{\beta \in H^1(k, G) ; \theta_i^*(\beta) = \theta_i^*(\alpha) \in H^1(k_i, G) \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

By the technique of twisting, see [23] I §5.4, we may assume that α is the trivial class in $H^1(k, G)$. Let k'/k be a finite Galois extension that trivialises G . With the notation as in Lemma 1.5, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k, G) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^1(k', G) \\ \theta_i^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta'_{i,\sigma} \\ H^1(k_i, G) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^1(k'_{i,\sigma}, G) \end{array}$$

shows that under restriction III_α maps into

$$\text{III}_{\text{trivial}} = \{\chi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{out}}(\Gamma_{k'}, G) ; \chi \circ \theta'_{i,\sigma} = 1 \text{ for all } i, \sigma\}$$

which contains only the trivial class due to property (**) and Lemma 1.5. Hence, due to the nonabelian inflation–restriction sequence, III_α is contained in $H^1(\text{Gal}(k'/k), G(k'))$ which is a finite set. □

Proposition 1.7. *Under the assumption of (**) the properties (a) and (c) are equivalent.*

Proof: By Lemma 1.6 we also have assumption (*). By Proposition 1.3 it suffices to show that under assumption (a) the map $\pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ admits a section. As (a) trivially implies (a') we may use Proposition 1.1 to deduce (b), so that we have found at least a continuous homomorphism $u : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ such that for all $i \in I$ we have $s_i = u \circ \theta_i$ up to conjugation in $\pi_1^U(X)$. Let $\varphi : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ be the composition of u with the projection $p : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$. To find a section s_0 of (2) and thus to complete the proof of Proposition 1.7, it suffices to prove that φ is bijective because we can then take $s_0 = u \circ \varphi^{-1}$. We have

$$\varphi \circ \theta_i = p \circ (u \circ \theta_i) = p \circ s_i = \theta_i$$

up to conjugation in Γ_k . Thus for every $\gamma \in \bigcup_i \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma_k} g\theta_i(\Gamma_i)g^{-1}$ the image $\varphi(\gamma)$ is conjugate to γ in Γ_k . By assumption (**) the set $\bigcup_i \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma_k} g\theta_i(\Gamma_i)g^{-1}$ is dense in Γ_k so that φ preserves every conjugacy class of Γ_k by continuity and compactness of Γ_k . In particular φ is injective.

In every finite quotient $\Gamma_k \rightarrow G$ the image of $\varphi(\Gamma_k)$ is a subgroup $H < G$ such that the union of the conjugates of H covers G . An old argument that goes back to at least Jordan, namely the estimate

$$|G| = \left| \bigcup_{g \in G/H} gHg^{-1} \right| \leq (G : H) \cdot (|H| - 1) + 1 = |G| - (G : H) + 1 \leq |G|,$$

shows that necessarily $H = G$. Thus φ is also surjective. \square

Remarks 1.8. (1) The isomorphism φ that occurs in the proof of Proposition 1.7 preserves conjugacy classes of elements, hence is of a very special type which is much studied by group theorists.

(2) In the case of a number field, every automorphism of Γ_k is induced by an automorphism of k by a theorem of Neukirch, Uchida and Iwasawa, see [18], and there are also famous extensions of this result by Pop to function fields. In particular every automorphism of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an inner automorphism.

Proposition 1.9. *Under the assumption of (**) the properties (b) and (c) are equivalent.*

Proof: Clearly (c) implies (b). For the converse let $u : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ be a homomorphism as in (b), so that there are $\gamma_i \in \pi_1^U(X)$ with $u \circ \theta_i = \gamma_i(-)\gamma_i^{-1} \circ s_i$ for all $i \in I$. With the natural projection $p : \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ the proof of Proposition 1.7 says that the homomorphism $\varphi = p \circ u$ is an isomorphism, so that $s = u \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a section. With $p(\gamma_i) := \sigma_i$ we compute

$$\varphi \circ \theta_i = p \circ u \circ \theta_i = p \circ (\gamma_i(-)\gamma_i^{-1}) \circ s_i = \sigma_i(-)\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \theta_i,$$

since s_i is a section and thus $p \circ s_i = \theta_i$. Applying φ^{-1} to both sides yields with $\tau_i = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma_i^{-1})$ the equation

$$\tau_i(-)\tau_i^{-1} \circ \theta_i = \varphi^{-1} \circ \theta_i.$$

Now the section s interpolates the following

$$\begin{aligned} s \circ \theta_i &= u \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \theta_i = u \circ (\tau_i(-)\tau_i^{-1}) \circ \theta_i = (u(\tau_i)(-))u(\tau_i)^{-1} \circ u \circ \theta_i \\ &= (u(\tau_i)(-))u(\tau_i)^{-1} \circ (\gamma_i(-)\gamma_i^{-1}) \circ s_i = ((u(\tau_i)\gamma_i)(-))(u(\tau_i)\gamma_i)^{-1} \circ s_i, \end{aligned}$$

and because of

$$p(u(\tau_i)\gamma_i) = \varphi(\tau_i)p(\gamma_i) = \sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_i = 1$$

we find that s actually satisfies the stronger interpolation property of (c). \square

Corollary 1.10. *Under the assumption of (**) the properties (a), (a'), (b) and (c) are equivalent to each other and to (a'') together with the existence of section.*

Proof: This follows immediately by Lemma 1.6, Proposition 1.1, Proposition 1.3, Proposition 1.7, and Proposition 1.9. \square

2. RESULTS OVER NUMBER FIELDS

From now on we assume that k is a number field. We consider the exact sequence (2)

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1$$

as above. Let k_v be the completion of k at a place v of k . A choice of embeddings $\bar{k} \rightarrow \bar{k}_v$ of the respective algebraic closures identifies the absolute Galois group $\Gamma_v = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_v/k_v)$ of k_v with the decomposition subgroup of v , or more precisely the place of \bar{k} above v corresponding to the embedding $\bar{k} \rightarrow \bar{k}_v$. Hence the map $\theta_v : \Gamma_v \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ as defined in the introduction is injective.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a set of places of k of Dirichlet density 0, for example a finite set of places. Assume that $X(k_v) \neq \emptyset$ for $v \notin S$. For each $v \notin S$, let $s_v : \Gamma_v \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ be the section map associated to a k_v -rational point $P_v \in X(k_v)$.*

Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) For every finite k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, G)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} , the family $(\alpha(P_v))$ belongs to the diagonal image of $\mathrm{H}^1(k, G)$ in $\prod_{v \notin S} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, G)$.
- (i') For every finite constant k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, G)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}$ has trivial restriction to \bar{U} , the family $(\alpha(P_v))$ belongs to the diagonal image of $\mathrm{H}^1(k, G)$ in $\prod_{v \notin S} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, G)$.
- (i'') There is a section $s_0 : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ and for every finite k -group scheme G and every $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, G)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}$ restricts trivially to \bar{U} and the associated G -torsor on X is geometrically connected, the family $(\alpha(P_v))$ belongs to the diagonal image of $\mathrm{H}^1(k, G)$ in $\prod_{v \notin S} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, G)$.
- (ii) There exists a homomorphism $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ of (2) such that for each $v \notin S$, we have $s_v = s \circ \theta_v$ up to conjugation in $\pi_1^U(X)$.
- (iii) There exists a section $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X)$ of (2) such that for each $v \notin S$, we have $s_v = s \circ \theta_v$ up to conjugation in \bar{A} , i.e., the sections s_v come from a global section s .

Proof: This is merely a translation of Corollary 1.10 into the number field setting, once we notice that assertion (**) follows immediately from Chebotarev's density theorem. \square

Remarks 2.2. (1) For \bar{U} trivial, we have $\pi_1^U(X) = \pi_1(X)$ and assertion (i) means in the language of [24], Definition 5.2, that the family (P_v) survives every X -torsor under a finite group scheme G/k , while assertion (i') says that (P_v) survives every X -torsor under a finite constant group scheme. By (i'') this is equivalent to the existence of a section⁴ together with (P_v) surviving every geometrically connected X -torsor under a finite group scheme.

(2) Even when $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, it is not sufficient to demand in (i'') that (P_v) survives every geometrically connected torsor under a finite and constant group scheme to deduce that (P_v) satisfies the equivalent properties of Theorem 2.1. Take for example $k = \mathbf{Q}$ and X such that $\pi_1(\bar{X}) = \mu_3$ with the corresponding Galois action. Such examples arise among varieties of general type. Then the only G -torsor over X with G finite constant and Y geometrically connected is X with trivial group G . Nevertheless, there is a torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ under μ_3 with Y geometrically connected, and certain families (P_v) do not survive Y , see [10], Remark after Corollary 2.4.

(3) An interesting case is when \bar{U} is the closure of the derived subgroup of $\pi_1(\bar{X})$, so that $\bar{A} = \pi_1(\bar{X})/\bar{U}$ is just the abelianized profinite group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(\bar{X})$. Then the section s in assertion (iii) corresponds to a section of the geometrically abelianized fundamental exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1^U(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1.$$

Then assertion (i) means that the family (P_v) survives every X -torsor Y under a finite group scheme G such that $Y \rightarrow X$ has **abelian geometric monodromy**, that is: such that the image of the homomorphism $\pi_1(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \bar{G}$ associated to $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is an abelian group. Similar statements hold for abelian replaced by solvable or nilpotent taking for \bar{A} the maximal prosolvable or pronilpotent quotient of $\pi_1(\bar{X})$.

(4) The analogue of Theorem 2.1 holds with the same proof if we replace the family of completions (k_v) by the corresponding henselizations (k_v^h) , simply because the assertions only depend on the associated sections and the canonical map $\Gamma_{k_v} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h}$ is an isomorphism.

3. Abelian APPLICATIONS

Let k be a number field. Denote by Ω_k the set of all places of k . For a smooth and projective k -variety X its **Brauer–Manin set** is the subset $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ of $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$ consisting of those

⁴Thanks to M. Stoll for pointing out the importance of this condition.

adelic points that are orthogonal to the Brauer group for the Brauer–Manin pairing, cf. [27], II. Chapter 5.

The following corollary is a consequence of the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 2.1. Similar results had already been observed independently (at least) by J-L. Colliot-Thélène, O. Wittenberg and the second author.

Corollary 3.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve over a number field k . Assume that the abelianized fundamental exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1$$

has a section s , such that for each $v \in \Omega_k$ the corresponding section s_v is induced by a k_v -point P_v of X . Then $(P_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$.

Proof: We take for \overline{U} the closure of the derived subgroup of $\pi_1(\overline{X})$ in Theorem 2.1. Then (P_v) satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1, hence by (i) it survives every X -torsor with abelian geometric monodromy under a finite k -group G . In particular, the adelic point (P_v) survives any X -torsor under a finite abelian group scheme. It remains to apply [24], Corollary 7.3. \square

Remarks 3.2. (1) Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus 0. Then the assumption of Corollary 3.1 seems vacuous as $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = 1$ and there is a section with no arithmetic content. But we also assume the existence of an adelic point, whence the curve X has k -rational points by the classical Hasse local–global principle for quadratic forms. Moreover, any adelic point on $X \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ satisfies the Brauer–Manin obstruction because $\text{Br}(k) = \text{Br}(\mathbb{P}_k^1)$.

(2) Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus 1 as in Corollary 3.1 with Jacobian E . Then X corresponds to an element $[X]$ in the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E/k)$. The existence of an adelic point which survives the Brauer–Manin obstruction then implies by [27] Theorem 6.2.3 that $[X]$ belongs to the maximal divisible subgroup of $\text{III}(E/k)$, which also follows from [12] Proposition 2.1. When $\text{III}(E/k)$ is finite as is conjecturally always the case, then the curve X has a k -rational point and is actually an elliptic curve E .

(3) It is conjectured that a p -adic version of Grothendieck’s section conjecture holds, which would imply that for a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve of genus at least 2, each local section s_v as in Corollary 3.1 is automatically induced by a k_v point. See also Remark (2) after Theorem 4.1.

(4) If we assume further that the Jacobian variety of X has finitely many rational points and finite Tate–Shafarevich group, then the conclusion of Corollary 3.1 implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ by a result due to Scharaschkin and Skorobogatov, see [27] Corollary 6.2.6. or [24], Corollary 8.1.

The following result (and its proof) are inspired by Koenigsmann’s theorem [14], namely the fact that for a (smooth, geometrically connected) curve over a p -adic field, the existence of a section for the birational fundamental exact sequence implies the existence of a rational point.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over a number field k . Assume that the birational fundamental exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\overline{k}(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1 \tag{5}$$

has a section. Then $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. If we assume further that the Jacobian variety of X has finitely many rational points and finite Tate–Shafarevich group, then $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

A ”non-abelian” version of this theorem will be given in the next section (Theorem 4.3).

Proof: A section $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)}$ of (5) induces⁵ for every place v of k a section s_v^h for the analogous sequence for k replaced by k_v^h . We follow the argument used by Koenigsmann [14] Proposition 2.4 (1).

⁵This would not be clear if we had replaced k by k_v instead of k_v^h . Indeed the existence of a birational section is not a condition that is stable by extension of scalars; see [6], Remark 3.12(iii).

The image of s_v^h defines a field extension $L_v^h/k_v^h(X)$ as the fixed field in the algebraic closure of $k_v^h(X)$. Because the natural maps between absolute Galois groups

$$\Gamma_{L_v^h} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h} \leftarrow \Gamma_{k_v}$$

are isomorphisms, the fields L_v^h , k_v^h and k_v are p -adically closed fields, see [13] Theorem 4.1, and thus L_v^h is an elementary extension of k_v^h , see [14] Fact 2.2. In particular, the tautological L_v^h point of X given by

$$\mathrm{Spec}(L_v^h) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k_v^h(X) \rightarrow X$$

implies the existence of a k_v^h -point and thus a k_v -point on X .

The core of the following well-known limit argument goes back at least to Neukirch, and was introduced in anabelian geometry by Nakamura, while Tamagawa emphasized its significance to the section conjecture. We perform the limit argument by applying the above existence result to every connected branched cover $X' \rightarrow X$ (necessarily geometrically connected over k) with $s(\Gamma_k) \subset \Gamma_{k(X')} \subset \Gamma_{k(X)}$. Thus the projective system $\varprojlim_{X'} X'(k_v)$ over all such X' is a projective system of nonempty compact spaces, and is therefore itself nonempty by [1] Chapter I §9.6 Proposition 8.

Let (P'_v) with $P'_v \in X'(k_v)$ be an element in the projective limit with lowest stage $P_v \in X(k_v)$. It follows that the section $s_{P'_v} : \Gamma_{k_v^h} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h(X)}$ composed with the natural projection $\Gamma_{k_v^h(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)}$ agrees with the v -local component $s \circ \theta_v$ for the original section s . We may now apply Corollary 3.1 to the composition

$$\Gamma_k \xrightarrow{s} \Gamma_{k(X)} \rightarrow \pi_1(X),$$

which shows that the adelic point (P_v) of X is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Br} X$ for the Brauer-Manin pairing.

Under the further assumptions that the Jacobian of X has finite Mordell–Weil group and finite Tate–Shafarevich group we now apply the result by Scharaschkin/Skorobogatov (see Remark (4) above) to complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 3.4. In [6] Theorem 2.1 H. Esnault and O. Wittenberg discuss a geometrically abelian version of Theorem 3.3 with the result that an abelian birational section yields a divisor of degree 1 on X under the assumption of the Tate-Shafarevich group of the Jacobian of X being finite.

We next describe an application towards the birational version of the section conjecture of Grothendieck’s. Recall that for a geometrically connected k -variety X a k -rational point $a \in X(k)$ describes by functoriality a $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ -conjugacy class of sections s_a of (1). In the birational setting the k -rational point leads to the following. Define $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ as the inverse limit (over n) of the Γ_k -modules $\mu_n(\bar{k})$. Due to the characteristic zero assumption the decomposition group D_a of $a \in X(k)$ in $\Gamma_{k(X)}$ is an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1) \rightarrow D_a \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1 \tag{6}$$

that splits for example by the choice of a uniformizer t at a and a compatible choice of n^{th} roots $t^{1/n}$ of t . It follows that up to conjugacy by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$, the inertia group at a , we have a **packet of sections** of (6) with a free transitive action by the huge uncountable group

$$H^1(k, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)) = \varprojlim_n k^*/(k^*)^n.$$

It can be proven in at least two different ways that the map $D_a \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)}$ maps the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ -conjugacy classes of sections of (6) injectively into the set of $\Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}$ -conjugacy classes of sections of (5), see for example [14] Section 1.4, or [28] Section 1.3 and Theorem 14+17, or [5].

The **birational form of the section conjecture** speculates that for a smooth, projective geometrically connected curve the map from k -rational points to packets of sections of (5) is bijective and that there are no other sections of (5), see [14] Section 1.4+5.

The following theorem is a corollary⁶ of Stoll's results [25] (Corollary 8.6 and Theorem 9.18).

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over k . If we assume that there is a nonconstant map $X \rightarrow A$ to an abelian variety A/k with finitely many k -rational points and finite Tate–Shafarevich group, then every section s of the birational fundamental exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1 \quad (7)$$

is the section s_a associated to a k -rational point $a \in X(k)$. In other words, the birational section conjecture is true for such curves X/k .

Proof: Let s be a section of (7), and let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a finite branched cover, such that upon suitable choices of base points the image of s is contained in $\Gamma_{k(X')} \subset \Gamma_{k(X)}$.

Then Theorem 3.3 shows that $X'(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. Exploiting the finite map $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow A$ we may use Stoll's result [24] Theorem 8.6, to deduce $X'(k) \neq \emptyset$. Cofinally all such X' will have genus at least 2 so that $X'(k)$ then is nonempty and finite by Faltings–Mordell [7] Satz 7. It follows that $\varprojlim_{X'} X'(k)$, where X' ranges over the system of all X' as above, is nonempty by [1] Chapter I §9.6 Proposition 8. Let $a \in X(k)$ be the projection to $X(k)$ of an element of $\varprojlim_{X'} X'(k)$, then the image of s is contained in the decomposition subgroup $D_a \subset \Gamma_{k(X)}$ and s belongs to the packet of sections associated to the k -rational point a .

It remains to refer to the literature for the injectivity of the (birational) section conjecture, which was already known to Grothendieck [8], see for example [28] Appendix B. \square

Remarks 3.6. (1) The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer predicts that an abelian variety A over a number field k has both finite $A(k)$ and finite $\text{III}(A/k)$ if and only if its complex L -function $L(s, A/k)$ does not vanish at the critical point $s = 1$. This is known in the case of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} due to work of Coates–Wiles, Rubin, and Kolyvagin. For abelian varieties A/\mathbb{Q} with $L(1, A/\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ the work of Kolyvagin–Logachev [15] allows to conclude finiteness of $A(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\text{III}(A/\mathbb{Q})$ subject to an additional technical condition.

Following Mazur [16], every Jacobian $J_0(p)$ of the modular curve $X_0(p)$ for $p = 11$ or a prime $p \geq 17$ has a nontrivial Eisenstein quotient, see \tilde{J} [16] II (10.4), with finite Mordell–Weil group $\tilde{J}(\mathbb{Q})$. But only for an Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{p} the \mathfrak{p} -component of the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(\tilde{J}/\mathbb{Q})$ is known to be finite. Building on the work of Mazur, modular quotients $J_0(p) \rightarrow A$, which satisfy $L(1, A/\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$, have been determined in abundance, see for example Duke [4].

Consequently, every smooth, projective geometrically connected curve X over \mathbb{Q} with a nonconstant map $X \rightarrow A$ for one of the good abelian varieties A above will (subject to the validity of the technical assumption necessary in [15] or unconditionally if $\dim(A) = 1$) satisfy Theorem 3.5 and thus the birational section conjecture will hold for such X with $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

(2) A recent result of Mazur and Rubin, [17] Theorem 1.1, guarantees for any algebraic number field k the existence of infinitely many elliptic curves E/k with $E(k) = 0$. As conjecturally $\text{III}(E/k)$ is always finite, these elliptic curves and moreover their branched covers $X \rightarrow E$ can be used in Theorem 3.5 to at least conjecturally produce examples of the birational section conjecture over any algebraic number field.

4. Non-abelian APPLICATIONS

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve over a number field k . Let $(P_v)_{v \in \Omega_k}$ be an adelic point of X that survives every X -torsor under a finite group scheme. Then (P_v) survives every X -torsor under a linear group scheme.*

⁶Note however that in the proof of [25] Theorem 9.18, it is not explained why the existence of a birational section over k implies the same property over k_v .

Proof: We apply Theorem 2.1 in the case $\bar{U} = 0$, $\bar{A} = \pi_1(\bar{X})$. The hypothesis means that (P_v) satisfies condition (i) of this Theorem, hence there is a section $s : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ as in condition (iii). Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a geometrically connected torsor under a finite group scheme. Using the section s , we can lift (P_v) to an adelic point (Q_v) on some twisted torsor Y^σ such that s take values in the subgroup $\pi_1(Y^\sigma)$ of $\pi_1(X)$. This means that (Q_v) again satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1. In particular Corollary 3.1 implies that $(Q_v) \in Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. So we have proved that for every geometrically connected torsor Y under a finite group scheme G , the adelic point (P_v) can be lifted to an adelic point $(Q_v) \in Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ for some twisted torsor Y^σ .

This still holds if Y is not assumed to be geometrically connected: indeed the assumption that there exists an adelic point of X surviving every X -torsor under a finite group scheme implies (by a result of Stoll, see also Demarche’s paper [3], beginning of the proof of Lemma 3) that there exists a geometrically connected torsor $Z \rightarrow X$ under a finite k -group scheme F , a cocycle $\sigma \in Z^1(k, G)$, and a morphism $F \rightarrow G^\sigma$ such that Y^σ is obtained by pushout of the torsor Z . We conclude with the functoriality of the Brauer–Manin pairing.

It remains to apply the main result of [3] to finish the proof, namely that the étale Brauer–Manin obstruction is a priori stronger than the descent obstruction imposed by linear algebraic groups. \square

Remarks 4.2. (1) The previous result does not hold in higher dimension. For example there are smooth, projective, geometrically integral and geometrically rational surfaces X (in particular we have $\pi_1(\bar{X}) = 1$) with $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, but such that some adelic points (P_v) do not belong to $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. For an example with an intersection of two quadrics in \mathbf{P}^4 see [2], p. 3, Example a. By [27], Theorem 6.1.2 (a), such adelic points do not survive the *universal* torsors, which are those torsors under the Néron–Severi torus of X whose type in the sense of Colliot–Thélène and Sansuc’s descent theory is an isomorphism, see [27], Definition 2.3.3.

(2) Let X be a curve of genus at least 2 such that the fundamental exact sequence (1) has a section. If we knew the p -adic analogue of Grothendieck’s section conjecture, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1 would yield the existence of an adelic point (P_v) that survives every torsor under a linear k -group scheme, which is a priori stronger than $(P_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$. Recall that as we have seen before (a result by Scharaschkin/Skorobogatov), the condition $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ already implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ if the Jacobian variety of X has finitely many rational points and finite Tate–Shafarevich group.

The following result is the ”non-abelian” version of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over a number field k . Assume that the birational fundamental exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1 \quad (8)$$

has a section. Then X contains an adelic point (P_v) that survives every torsor under a linear k -group scheme.

Proof: We proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, except that at the end we apply Theorem 2.1 instead of Corollary 3.1, so that we obtain that the adelic point (P_v) of X survives every torsor under a finite k -group scheme, hence every torsor under a linear k -group scheme by Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark 4.4. Over a number field or a p -adic field, no example of smooth and geometrically integral variety X such that the exact sequence (5) has a section, but $X(k) = \emptyset$, is known. According to Grothendieck, a sufficiently small non empty open subset U of X should be ”anabelian”, which would imply (if one believes a general form of his section conjecture, see [8]) that X has a rational point as soon as the sequence (5) is split. We don’t know whether Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.3 still hold in arbitrary dimension.

Let $\Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}^{\text{solv}}$ be the maximal pro-solvable quotient of $\Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}$ and

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}^{\text{solv}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)}^{(\text{solv})} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1 \quad (9)$$

the pushout of (5) by $\Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}^{\text{solv}}$. With this exact sequence we can prove the following geometrically pro- p version of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *Let k be a number field, and let X be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over k . Assume that the geometrically pro-solvable birational fundamental exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{k}(X)}^{\text{solv}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k(X)}^{(\text{solv})} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1$$

has a section. Then X contains an adelic point (P_v) that survives every torsor under a finite k -group scheme with geometric monodromy a finite solvable group.

Proof: We start as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Let $v \mid p$ be a place of k above p . The local section

$$s_v^h : \Gamma_{k_v^h} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h(X)}^{(\text{solv})} \subset \Gamma_{k_v^h(X)}^{(\text{solv})}$$

restricts, i.e., after adjoining the p^{th} roots of unity $\langle \zeta_p \rangle$, to a liftable section

$$s_v^h|_{\dots} : \Gamma_{k_v^h(\zeta_p)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h(\zeta_p)(X)}^{(p)}$$

in the sense of [19] for the geometrically pro- p birational fundamental exact sequence of the scalar extension $X \times_k k_v^h(\zeta_p)$. Now [19] Theorem B 2) shows that, modulo the geometric commutator, the section $s_v^h|_{\dots}$ belongs to a unique bouquet of sections associated to a point $P_v \in X(k_v(\zeta_p))$ with coefficients in the completion $k_v(\zeta_p)$ of $k_v^h(\zeta_p)$. Since $s_v^h|_{\dots}$ is invariant under $\text{Gal}(k_v^h(\zeta_p)/k_v^h) = \text{Gal}(k_v(\zeta_p)/k_v)$, the uniqueness of P_v , structure transport and Galois descent show that in fact $P_v \in X(k_v)$. The same limit argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 applies and shows that in fact the local section s_v^h agrees with the composite

$$s_{P_v} : \Gamma_{k_v^h} = \Gamma_{k_v} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_v(X)}^{(\text{solv})} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{k_v^h(X)}^{(\text{solv})}$$

The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Acknowledgements

We thank U. Görtz for a stimulating question and M. Çiperiani, J-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Stoll, T. Szamuely, and O. Wittenberg for helpful comments. This work started when both authors visited the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences in Cambridge, whose excellent working conditions and hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *General topology. Chapters 1–4.* translated from the French, reprint of the 1989 English translation, Elements of Mathematics, Springer, 1998, vii+437 pp.
- [2] J-L. Colliot-Thélène, J-J. Sansuc: *La descente sur une variété rationnelle définie sur un corps de nombres*, C.R.A.S. Paris **284**, 1215–1218 (1977).
- [3] C. Demarche: *Obstruction de descente et obstruction de Brauer-Manin étale*, Algebra and Number Theory **3**, no. 2, 237–254 (2009).
- [4] W. Duke: *The critical order of vanishing of automorphic L -functions with large level*, Invent. Math. **119**, no. 1, 165–174 (1995).
- [5] H. Esnault, Ph. H. Hai: *Packets in Grothendieck’s Section Conjecture*, Advances in Mathematics **218**, no. 2, 395–416 (2008).
- [6] H. Esnault, O. Wittenberg: *On abelian birational sections*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **23**, 713–724 (2010).
- [7] G. Faltings: *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73**, no. 3, 349–366 (1983).
- [8] A. Grothendieck: *Brief an Faltings (27/06/1983)*, in: Geometric Galois Action 1, (editors L. Schneps, P. Lochak), LMS Lecture Notes **242**, Cambridge 1997, 49–58.

- [9] A. Grothendieck: *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie* (SGA 1) 1960-61: Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental, Documents Mathématiques **3**, Société Mathématique de France (2003).
- [10] D. Harari: *Weak approximation and non-abelian fundamental groups* Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. (4) **33**, no. 4, 467–484 (2000).
- [11] D. Harari, A.N. Skorobogatov: *Non-abelian cohomology and rational points*, Compositio Math. **130**, no. 3, 241–273 (2002).
- [12] D. Harari, T. Szamuely: *Galois sections for abelianized fundamental groups* (With an appendix by E. V. Flynn), Math. Ann. **344**, no. 4, 779–800 (2009).
- [13] J. Koenigsmann: *From p -rigid elements to valuations (with a Galois-characterization of p -adic fields)*, with an appendix by Florian Pop, J. Reine Angew. Math. **465**, 165–182 (1995).
- [14] J. Koenigsmann: *On the ‘section conjecture’ in anabelian geometry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **588**, 221–235 (2005).
- [15] V. A. Kolyvagin, D. Yu. Logachëv, *Finiteness of III over totally real fields* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **55**, no. 4, 851–876 (1991); translation in Math. USSR-Izv. **39**, no. 1, 829–853 (1992).
- [16] B. Mazur: *Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal*, IHES Publ. Math. **47**, 33–186 (1978).
- [17] B. Mazur, K. Rubin: *Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert’s Tenth Problem*, Invent. Math. **181**, no. 3, 541–575 (2010).
- [18] J. Neukirch: *Über die absoluten Galoisgruppen algebraischer Zahlkörper*, Journées Arithmétiques de Caen 1976, Astérisque **41-42**, 67–79 (1977).
- [19] F. Pop: *On the birational p -adic section conjecture*, Compositio Math. **146**, no. 3, 621–637 (2010).
- [20] F. Pop, J. Stix: *Arithmetic in the fundamental group of a p -adic curve: on the p -adic section conjecture for curves*, preprint, August 2010.
- [21] Stein, W.A., et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 3.1.4)*, The Sage Development Team, 2008, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [22] J.-P. Serre: *Corps locaux* (deuxième édition), Publications de l’Université de Nancago, No. VIII., Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [23] J.-P. Serre: *Cohomologie Galoisienne* (cinquième édition, révisée et complétée), Springer Verlag 1994.
- [24] M. Stoll: *Finite descent obstructions and rational points on curves*, Algebra and Number Theory **1**, no. 4, 349–391 (2007).
- [25] M. Stoll: *Finite descent obstructions and rational points on curves*, draft version no. 8, [arXiv:math.NT/0606465v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0606465v2).
- [26] T. Szamuely: *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **117**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [27] A. N. Skorobogatov: *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **144**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [28] J. Stix: *On cuspidal sections of algebraic fundamental groups*, preprint, Philadelphia–Bonn, July 2008, [arXiv:math.AG/0809.0017v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0809.0017v1).
- [29] J. Stix: *On the period-index problem in light of the section conjecture*, Amer. J. of Math. **132**, no. 1, 157–180 (2010).

DAVID HARARI, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS-SUD (ORSAY), BÂTIMENT 425, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: david.harari@math.u-psud.fr
URL: <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~harari/>

JAKOB STIX, MATCH & MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD
 288, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY
E-mail address: stix@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de
URL: <http://www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~stix/>